





# Bachelor Research Project "Resolved Stars in a large IFU Data Cube WITH GIPSY"

B. Hut Supervisors: Prof. dr. E. Tolstoy & Dr. M.G.R. Vogelaar

4th April 2012



#### Abstract

The MUSE (Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer) is a second generation instrument to be installed on the VLT (Very Large Telescope) of the ESO (European Southern Observatory) on Paranal in Chile later this year. This new instrument produces two spatial coordinates  $(1 \times 1 \text{ arcmin})$ and one spectral coordinate (465.00 - 930.14 nm). This provides a lot of detailed information to solve astrophysical problems. The large data cubes are relatively new to optical astronomy while radio astronomy has used them for years. GIPSY (Groningen Image Processing System) is a well established example of software developed to analyse 3D data cubes from radio telescopes. Using this package in combination with Python on a simulated data cube of resolved stars in a globular cluster provided by the MUSE consortium, an algorithm to handle such a large optical data cube is developed during this research project. How one can extract spectra of individual stars, and measure the equivalent widths of the Ca II triplet absorption lines and use them to determine metallicities of individual stars is shown. This algorithm should be useful not only to handle simulated data but also real data which will soon arrive from MUSE. 2

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction           1.1         What is a Data Cube?	<b>5</b> 5 5 6
2	The Ca II Triplet         2.1       Introduction         2.2       Near infrared Calcium II Triplet         2.3       From Equivalent Width to Metallicity         2.3.1       Definition of Equivalent Width EW         2.3.2       Definition of Reduced Equivalent Width W'         2.3.3       Relation between EW, W' and [Fe/H]	9 9 9 10 10 10
3	Simulated 3D MUSE Data Cube3.1The Data Cube3.2Preparation of the File3.3Spatial Analysis3.3.1Finding Stars3.4Spectral Analysis3.5Determination of Properties of each Star3.5.1Fitting the Spectra3.5.2Determining the metallicity3.6Error Analysis3.6.1Error in EW3.6.2Error in other measurements	<ul> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>18</li> <li>18</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> <li>22</li> </ul>
4	Discussion of the Result         4.1       x-, y Positions         4.2       Pseudo Colour-Magnitude Diagram         4.3       Metallicities         4.4       SNR         4.5       Kolmogorov-Smirnov Goodness-of-Fit Test         Summary and Future Research	<ul> <li>23</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>24</li> <li>26</li> <li>26</li> <li>31</li> </ul>
6	5.1     Summary     Summary       5.2     Future research       Acknowledgements	31 32 33

Refe	rences	33				
Nede	Nederlandse Samenvatting					
A Pa	arameters of GIPSY's FITSREPROJ	39				
<ul> <li>B D:</li> <li>B.</li> <li>B.</li> <li>B.</li> </ul>	ata for technical analysis1Spatial Data2Spectral Data3SNR and summing Flux	<b>41</b> 41 41 41				
C R	esults	53				
D P D.	ython script .1 ko.py	<b>61</b> 62				

4





# Chapter

# INTRODUCTION

The scheduled commissioning of the innovative Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE) for ESO's Very Large Telescope (VLT) later this year will be an important moment in the study of galaxies. This new instrument contains 24 3D spectrographs and will be an efficient explorer of the Universe in three dimensions: 2 spatial coordinates and a spectral coordinate. MUSE is a unique tool to solve astrophysical problems.

Such one problem is our understanding of galactic evolution: how does the metallicity distribution of a stellar population change with time<sup>[5]</sup>? MUSE can be used to determine metallicities of individual stars in nearby resolved systems as well as integrated metallicities for more distant systems.

This report is divided into two parts: a description of the software required to analyse a simulated 3D MUSE data, and a practical application to measure the metallicities of stars from the CaII triplet lines. The first part will show how to pick out stars and their spectra using the Groningen Image Processing System (GIPSY). The second part shows how to turn these measurements into metallicities.

The instrumental and astronomical issues are combined in the research question:

What are the possibilities to process a 3D MUSE data cube with GIPSY and measure accurate metallicities using the CaII triplet lines?

# 1.1 What is a Data Cube?

To be able to answer the main question, some more detailed questions are first addressed: what does a 3D MUSE data cube look like and how is it composed? How does one deal with such a cube? How to do proper science with it?

A data cube is a representation of a file that consists of spaxels. A spaxel represents a measured intensity as function of  $(x, y, \lambda)$ , just like a pixel is a function of (x, y). Figure 1.1 shows an illustration of a data cube.

First some astronomical aspects of metallicities of stars will be discussed and after that the way of retrieving information from a 3D MUSE data cube will be discussed.

## 1.2 MUSE

MUSE (the Multi Unit Spectrographic Explorer) is a new instrument which shortly will be commissioned on the VLT. It is an integral field unit (IFU) which can be used with the aid of adaptive optics. An IFU is a spectrograph that can measure spectra over a 2D area of the sky, which makes an IFU a 3D spectrograph. In the case of MUSE, there are 24 IFU's packed together to cover a large Field of View (FoV) which in its default 'Wide Field Mode' (WFM) is  $1 \times 1$  arcmin, with 0.3-0.4 arcsec spaxels. In its 'Narrow Field Mode' (NFM), MUSE has a higher spatial resolution (0.030 - 0.050 arcsec spaxels) at the cost of FoV (7.5 .5 arcsec squared), see Table 1.3. The IFU's divide the FoV into smaller beams, which all enter a spectrograph. Table 1.3 shows the technical specification of MUSE and Figure 1.2 shows the 24 IFU's. The CCDs in the spectrographs contain  $\sim 16 \cdot 10^6$  pixels and are cooled down to a temperature of about 140 K. In the end, the data of all the individual IFU's are combined into a 3D MUSE Data Cube. This data cube contains  $\sim 370 \cdot 10^6$  pixels<sup>[21]</sup>. Such big files need to be handled by very efficient tools. This is exactly where GIPSY comes in.

# 1.3 GIPSY

The simulated 3D MUSE data cube that is used here contains two spatial axes (RA, DEC) and a spectral ( $\lambda$ ) axis. This is a data type which is very common in radio astronomy, but still something of a novelty in optical astronomy. GIPSY is an interactive software system for the reduction and display of astronomical data developed in Groningen at the Kapteyn Astronomical Institute. GIPSY has mainly been used for radio data: in radio astronomy, the redshift is measured by the change in position of a single spectral line, usually the 21cm HI line. These measurements can subsequently be used to create a velocity field. GIPSY has its origins in the early 1970s when radio 21cm HI data typically contained 2 planes of 512 × 512 pixels or 32 planes of 128 × 128 pixels. That results in data cubes containing a total of 524288 data pixels.

Because GIPSY developers have had many interactions with users, GIPSY has always been evolving. New ideas in image analysis and user interaction have extended the functionality of GIPSY. In recent years for example, a set of highly interactive graphical user interface (GUI) components and a Python binding have been added. This Python binding provides a robust tool to analyse data cubes. Nowadays state-of-the-art data cubes like a MUSE 3D data cube, containing  $370 \cdot 10^6$  data points, can be handled by GIPSY, using advanced techniques<sup>[3 and references therein]</sup>. This report investigates how to use GIPSY to extract spectra of stars in a simulated MUSE data cube and to measure the equivalent widths of the Calcium II Triplet lines to find the metallicities of individual stars.

	Wide Field Mode (WFM)	Narrow Field Mode (NFM)
Field of View	$1 \times 1$ arcmin	$7.5 \times 7.5$ arcsec
Spatial sampling	$0.2 \times 0.2$ arcsec	$0.025 \times 0.025$ arcsec
Spatial resolution (FWHM)	0.3 - 0.4 arcsec	0.030 - 0.050 arcsec
Spectral resolution	$0.13 \mathrm{~nm}$	$0.13 \; \mathrm{nm}$

Table 1.1: Technical specifications of MUSE.



Figure 1.1: Illustration of a data cube.



**Figure 1.2:** A prediction of how MUSE will look on the Nasymth platform of the VLT. The 24 individual IFUs can be clearly seen. The full FoV incident beam from the VLT will be split in 24 sub-FoVs. Each sub-FoV beam goes into an IFU where the spectra are recorded. The spectra of the IFU's will be combined into one big data cube<sup>[2]</sup>.

# Chapter

# The CA II Triplet

This chapter explains how the Ca II Triplet can be used as a metallicity indicator.

## 2.1 Introduction

The metallicity distribution function of a stellar population with time is an important aspect in understanding galaxy formation and evolution. The metallicity of a star is the amount of metals<sup>\*</sup> it contains. It is defined to be the log of the ratio of iron to hydrogen in the star, divided by this ratio for the Sun, see equation 2.1. The metallicity is always relative to the Sun because absolute values are not precise<sup>[6]</sup>:

$$[Fe/H] = \log_{10} \frac{(N_{Fe}/N_{H})_{\star}}{(N_{Fe}/N_{H})_{\odot}} = \log_{10} \left[ \frac{N_{Fe}}{N_{H}} \right]_{\star} - \log_{10} \left[ \frac{N_{Fe}}{N_{H}} \right]_{\odot}$$
(2.1)

The unit of [Fe/H] is dex,  $N_{\rm H}$  and  $N_{\rm Fe}$  are the amount of respectively hydrogen and iron.

## 2.2 Near infrared Calcium II Triplet

Detailed abundance analysis with high-resolution spectroscopy is time-consuming for large data sets containing numerous individual stars. Happily, there is an empirical method that can make an efficient estimate of [Fe/H] for individual red giant branch (RGB) stars using Ca II triplet (CaT) lines<sup>[2][5]</sup>. The upcoming sections are about this CaT and how the empirical method can turn equivalent widths of the CaT lines into [Fe/H]. As be will described in chapter 3, the MUSE spectral range covers the 3 lines of the CaT. These lines are due to transitions between the states  $3^2D$  and  $4^2P^0$ . These lines are at rest, in vacuum at

$$\lambda_1 = 849.8 \text{ nm}$$
  $\lambda_2 = 854.2 \text{ nm}$   $\lambda_3 = 866.2 \text{ nm},$ 

and they are by far the strongest absorption lines in the spectral region<sup>[18]</sup> (see figure 2.1a). Gaussian line depths  $\ell_i$  are scaled in the ratios

$$\ell_1: \ell_2: \ell_3 \quad :: \quad 3:5:4. \tag{2.2}$$

## 2.3 From Equivalent Width to Metallicity

The characteristics of the CaT lines can be described in various ways. One of the most robust is the equivalent widths of each line. Here it is defined what this means and the relation of the equivalent width to the metallicity is explained.

<sup>\*</sup>astronomical metals: elements heavier than helium

#### 2.3.1 Definition of Equivalent Width EW

The equivalent width EW is a measure of line strength. It is defined to be the integral of the flux over wavelength:

$$EW \equiv \int 1 - \frac{F_{\lambda}}{F_0} d\lambda \tag{2.3}$$

Where  $F_{\lambda}$  is the flux at wavelength  $\lambda$  and  $F_0$  is the flux of the continuum at the same wavelength. The equivalent width is defined as the shape that has one vertice at the zero-intensity line and two adjacent lines parallel to the intensity axis. The width of this shape with a surface that equals the surface between the spectral line and the continuum is the equivalent width, see Figure 2.2. In general, the equivalent width is denoted as EW, the equivalent width of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  spectral line is denoted by  $EW_i$ .

#### 2.3.2 Definition of Reduced Equivalent Width W'

The dependence of a CaT line strength on metallicity is theoretically difficult to understand, yet it has been empirically proved by extensive calibration using RGB stars in globular clusters<sup>[5]</sup>. A linear combination of the CaT EWs depends on [Fe/H], the gravity g and the effective temperature  $T_{\rm eff}$  of each star. As log g and  $T_{\rm eff}$  decrease going up the RGB, the effect of gravity and temperature can be removed by taking into account the position of the star on the RGB with respect to the horizontal branch (HB)<sup>[22]</sup>. This is most efficiently achieved by defining a reduced equivalent width W':

$$W' \equiv \sum W + \beta (V - V_{\text{HB}})$$
  
=  $EW_2 + EW_3 + 0.64(\pm 0.02)(V - V_{\text{HB}})$  (2.4)

Where  $\sum W$  is a linear combination of the individual line strengths, V is the stars absolute magnitude and  $V_{\text{HB}}$  is the mean absolute magnitude of the Horizontal Branch. Using  $V_{\text{HB}}$  also removes any strong dependence on the distance and/or reddening. The term  $V - V_{\text{HB}}$  has an advantage over absolute magnitude or color, since then the slope  $\beta$  is constant. W' is thus the CaT line strength index at the level of the HB<sup>[5]</sup>. The linear combination and slope used is given as the second line of equation 2.4.

## 2.3.3 Relation between EW, W' and [Fe/H]

It has been shown that the CaT lines as indicators of [Fe/H] have advantages with respect to individual iron lines. CaT lines are very strong and in positioned in a spectral region with few other strong lines. The empirically derived correlation shows that the CaT lines of a metal rich RGB star are stronger than a metal poor RGB star of the same luminosity<sup>[4]</sup>.

The equivalent widths can be turned into metallicities with the following empirical equation [6]:

$$[Fe/H] = -2.81(\pm 0.16) + 0.44(\pm 0.04)W'$$
 for  $-2.5 < [Fe/H] < -0.5$  (2.5)

Where [Fe/H] is an expression for the metallicity, defined in equation 2.1. W' is defined in equation 2.4. The numbers in this equation come from the work of Bettaglia et. al<sup>[5]</sup>. It is useful to note that equation 2.4 cannot hold for extremely low metallicities, since equations 2.4 and 2.5 imply a negative equivalent width. Negative equivalent widths are obviously physically meaningless. This basic empirical formula has been given a solid physical foundation<sup>[6]</sup>.



Figure 2.1: (a) A representative spectrum of the Near Infrared Calcium II Triplet of a single star in the simulated MUSE data. Note the shifted position of the CaT: this CaT is *not* at rest wavelength. The velocity shift is due to the motion of the star with respect to the Earth. (b) The same spectrum which is zoomed in on Ca II Triplet regime.



Figure 2.2: Graphical definition of equivalent width EW. The shaded surfaces have equal area.

12

# Chapter

# SIMULATED 3D MUSE DATA CUBE

The previous chapter explained how and why one would want to measure the equivalent widths of spectral lines and how to turn the CaT equivalent widths into a metallicity. In this chapter the analysis of a 3D MUSE data cube is discussed to obtain accurate CaT EWs. Useful results require that EWs are reliable and consistently measured. The first question is how to handle the data cube correctly with GIPSY. After this, a spatial analysis is carried out to locate the individual stars in the data cube, and the spaxels around each identified star are combined to create a spectrum. Finally, the flux of each star is summed over both spatial and spectral axes.

## 3.1 The Data Cube

A simulated 3D data cube of a MUSE observation of a globular cluster was provided by the MUSE instrument team. The wavelength range covers the near infrared CaT, which is an excellent metallicity indicator as shown in chapter  $2^{[4][5][6]}$  and can also be used to determine accurate radial velocities<sup>[5]</sup>. The data cube was provided as a FITS (Flexible Image Transport System) file, which is the standard archival data format for astronomical data sets<sup>[10]</sup>. There were some initial problems to put it into a standard format that GIPSY could read. This was mainly caused by FITS header keywords that are not supported by GIPSY. For example, inspection of the header showed that the intensity values did not have a unit (see appendix A). The intensity unit was assumed to be erg s<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>. The header values are given in Table 3.3.1.

# 3.2 Preparation of the File

The 3D MUSE data cube is more complex than the HI data cubes normally seen by GIPSY<sup>[3]</sup>. This is because the amount of information is much more dense. The 3D MUSE data cube is converted into a GIPSY readable format by the new GIPSY task FITSREPROJ. FITSREPROJ creates a classic GIPSY header while the image data is simply copied and thus not affected. See appendix A for the usage of this task. The counts in the image data are of the order of magnitude  $10^{-16}$  and it is therefore easier to rescale by a factor  $10^{16}$  before doing any calculations. Afterwards the data is rescaled again to the original values. Once the data cube is readable by GIPSY, the spaxels can be analysed.

### 3.3 Spatial Analysis

In order to make a spectral analysis of a 3D data cube of a globular cluster, first the individual point sources that are stars have to be identified. Figure 3.1 shows an image at  $\lambda = 850.0$  nm and figure 3.2 shows a subsection for both  $\lambda = 850.0$  nm and  $\lambda = 465.0$  nm. For the plotted stars, it

is clear that the peaks are higher at  $\lambda = 465.0$  nm. To analyse spectra, it is convenient to use a subsection as small as possible: see figure 3.3. This will be discussed in section 3.4.

#### 3.3.1 Finding Stars

First of all, the stars in the data cube have to be identified. Finding stars can be done in multiple ways. The simplest way of selecting stars, is by clipping: stars that are brighter than a specific intensity value will be selected. Clipping has the advantage that it is a fast algorithm, as it selects all pixels higher than a certain threshold. This way, clipping can select multiple spaxels per star. In order to be more precise, one can look for patterns in x and y, where a star should have a peak within a certain range  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$ .

In the case of this analysis of the MUSE data cube, first, the x direction is searched for a peakshape in intensity. Where there is a candidate-star identified in the x direction, the same kind of shape should be present in y direction. If that is true, then the clipping method makes sure that the star is significantly brighter than the background noise level. In the end there is only one central spaxel per star.

The algorithm selects the position of stars based upon the shape of a star in both x- and y directions and the fact that it should have a higher signal than the environment. The script uses the sign of the derivative along the x- and y axis. It is assumed that the central pixel of the star is the brightest, the transition of a positive to negative slope will occur at exactly the coordinate of the star. Moreover, a clipping is done at 2% of the highest intensity value present. This sets the faint limit. The 2% limit is chosen as it is just above the standard deviation of the noise that is in the image, see figure 3.4. The result of this way of finding stars is plotted in figure 3.5, overlaying the image of figure 3.1 so that comparison will be possible. This algorithm is using GIPSY's python binding, because the tasks of GIPSY used to localize stars were not able to handle the very large MUSE data cube without preprocessing.

keyword	value	description
SIMPLE	Т	conform to FITS standard
BITPIX	-32	array data type
NAXIS	3	number of array dimensions
NAXIS1	301	number of data points along RA axis
NAXIS2	301	number of data points along DEC axis
NAXIS3	3578	number of data points along spectral axis
EXTEND	Т	
CDELT1	$5.5555555555555556 \cdot 10^{-5}$	step right ascension
CDELT2	$5.5555555555555556 \cdot 10^{-5}$	step declination
CDELT3	0.13	step wavelength
GCOUNT	1	number of groups
EQUINOX	2000	standard FK5 (years)
CUNIT1	deg	spatial unit of RA axis
CUNIT2	deg	spatial unit of DEC axis
CUNIT3	nm	unit of spectral axis
CROTA2	0.0	Appended by Kapteyn Package module Maputils 17d
CRPIX1	150.0	Start x spatial coordinate in pixel
CRPIX2	150.0	Start y spatial coordinate in pixel
CRPIX3	1.0	Start wavelength coordinate in pixel
CRVAL1	0.0	start RA spatial coordinate
CRVAL2	0.0	start DEC spatial coordinate
CRVAL3	465.0	start wavelength coordinate
PCOUNT	0	number of parameters
CTYPE1	RA—TAN	first axis is right ascension
CTYPE2	DEC-TAN	second axis is declination
CTYPE3	AWAV	third axis is wavelength

Table 3.1: Header of the MUSE data cube after processing by FITSREPROJ: the 3 axis of the cube are characterized by the number of data points along an axis (NAXIS), the step size between 2 data points along an axis (CDELT), the value of the first data point along an axis (CRVAL) and by the corresponding units (CUNIT). These quantities are illustrated in figure 1.1.



Figure 3.1: The full area of the sky of the 3D MUSE data cube at  $\lambda = 850.0$  nm. See Figure 3.2 for zoom in of the white box.



Figure 3.2: Zoom in of 4 bright stars in the white box in Figure 3.1 at *(left)*  $\lambda = 465.0$  nm and *(right)*  $\lambda = 850.0$  nm.



Figure 3.3: (a) Zoom in on lower left star of Figure 3.2. (b) Profiles of intensity per row in image (a): in solid blue the intensity of the upper row, y = 202px.



Figure 3.4: A visualisation of how the star finding algorithm works. The blue lines represent the pixel value in the x-y plane at  $\lambda = 465.0$  nm and the red plane represents the clipping level. The algorithm finds 2 stars in this area.



Figure 3.5: The central positions (x, y) of stars that are found in the simulated data cube. A total of 221 stars were found.

## 3.4 Spectral Analysis

In Figure 3.6 four spectra in the spectral range that MUSE covers of different spaxels around a single star are shown. The slope of the continuum and the existence of noise depends on the location of the spaxel. To analyse the CaT a wavelength range around the CaT is selected. In this range, the slope of the continuum is assumed to be constant. Figure 3.7 shows a zoom in on the spectral region around the CaT. For the star, the spectrum of the inner most brightest spaxels does not contain a CaT, unlike the less bright pixels which do show it. The reason for this is not certain, but it is thought to be saturation or some problem in the construction of the data cube. More information about saturation normally would be in the header, but that is not available for this simulated data cube.

From Figure 3.6, it is obvious the CaT is not present in the inner brightest spaxels. For intermediate bright spaxels the CaT is clearly visible and for outer spaxels noise becomes increasingly important. The fact that it is in general not possible to determine characteristics from the most bright spaxel, is another reason to sum all spaxels of a star, omitting the central 'saturated' spaxels. It is important to select the correct spaxels. There are multiple ways to select all spaxels that belong to a star. For example, the clipping method adds all spaxels that are intense enough and connected to the central spaxel. Another way is putting a small box around the central spaxel and sum the flux of all spaxels that are in the box. By increasing the size of the box, the signal to noise ratio SNR in the summed spectra should rise if the added spaxels still contains flux of the star. If not, the SNR will drop, because the added spaxels only contain noise (see Figure 3.8). Preferably, the shape of this box should be an ellipse, to match the telescopes point spread function. This report will use the last algorithm, since it was found to be most robust. Figure 3.9 shows how the number of pixels influences the summed spectrum. Initially a small box has been chosen. When the box size increases, the SNR rises. When the box is larger than the size of a star, only noise will be added and therefore the SNR drops again. The boxsize for summing flux is such, that the SNR has a maximum at that size.

### 3.5 Determination of Properties of each Star

In order to analyse each star, the spaxels are summed as described in the previous sections. Now it is known how to extract the spectra of the stars. Afterwards it will shown how the spaxels are also used to determine the properties of each star.

#### 3.5.1 Fitting the Spectra

Each stellar spectrum from Section 3.4 has to be fitted by a theoretical curve that represents the shape of the CaT lines and the continuum. It is assumed that the absorption lines are three normal distributed line functions, representing the CaT and that the continuum is a linear offset :

$$I_T(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\text{all triplet lines}}} I_{\text{line}}(\lambda) + I_{\text{continuum}}(\lambda)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} A_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} e^{-\frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}} + (a\lambda + b).$$

Where  $A_i$  is the amplitude of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  triplet line,  $\sigma_i$  is the standard deviation of the gaussian triplet line and  $\lambda_i$  is the position of the gaussian triplet line. a is the slope of the continuum and b is its offset. To reduce the number of parameters that needs to be fit, the known gaussian line depths  $\ell_i$  of each line are assumed. For the CaT,  $(\ell_1 : \ell_2 : \ell_3) = (3 : 5 : 4)$ . Now  $A_i$  is replaced by an overall amplitude  $A_0$ . The amplitude of the individual lines is  $\ell_i A_0$ . Furthermore, in the last step the known relative positions of the gaussian lines are also inserted.  $d_i$  denotes the spectral distance between  $\lambda_0$  and the position of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  line. For the CaT,  $d_1 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_0 = 0$  nm,



Figure 3.6: The complete spectrum from MUSE for a very bright star of one inner bright spaxel (black), an intermediate bright spaxel (green), an outer spaxel (blue) and a spaxel that does not contain flux of a star (light blue). The spectrum in the range around the CaT is plotted in Figure 3.7.



Figure 3.7: Spectra around the CaT triplet wavelength for a very bright star of two inner (a) & (b) bright spaxels; (c) an intermediate bright spaxel; (d) an outer, not so bright spaxel.



Figure 3.8: Flux summing algorithm: Intensity along one spatial axis are shown where the red line shows a gaussian star. Increasing the area to sum the flux, will increase SNR for a short interval. Adding flux 'outside' the star will worsen the SNR.



Figure 3.9: (a), (b) and (c) shows the box used for summing flux for increasing box sizes. (d), (e) and (f) shows the corresponding summed flux. More of such figures are in appendix B.2.

 $d_2 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = 4.4$  nm and  $d_3 = \lambda_3 - \lambda_1 = 16.4$  nm.

$$I_{T}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \ell_{i} A_{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{i}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{(\lambda_{i}-\lambda)^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}} + (a\lambda+b)$$
  
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \ell_{i} A_{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{i}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{((\lambda_{0}+d_{i})-\lambda)^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}} + (a\lambda+b).$$
(3.1)

Equation 3.1 is used in the fitting procedure. The parameters that should be fitted are: the overall amplitude  $A_0$  and position  $\lambda_0$ , the individual line's  $\sigma_i$ , and the slope a and offset b of the continuum. To start the fitting procedure, it is necessary to make initial estimates for the unknowns.

#### Initial estimates of the continuum

To make an initial estimate of the continuum, the least square method is applied to a straight line<sup>[14]</sup>. For a straight line y = ax + b, this analytical method yields:

$$a = \frac{m \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i}{m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i\right)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i y_i \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i}{m \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i\right)^2} \quad (3.2)$$

Where m is the number of data points in the data set.

#### Initial estimates of the CaT

The initial estimates of the overall amplitude  $A_0$  of the triplet and its position  $\lambda_0$  are estimated using the known gaussian line depths  $\ell_i$  and the distance between the lines  $d_i$  that tell what the theoretical CaT should look like. The distance  $d_i$  is used to select three data points in the spectrum. For these points, it is checked that the intensities follow the expected gaussian line depths up to a certain tolerance. If the three data points do not follow this, three new data points are selected. The selection starts from the position of the first line at rest and iterates to higher wavelength. If the data points follow the expected gaussian line depths, the depth with respect to the continuum and the position of those data points are used as initial estimate for the fitting procedure of the function in Equation 3.1. Finally, an initial estimate of  $\sigma_i$  is made. A width of 0.75 nm is used for summing the flux of a CaT line, showing that  $\sigma_i \leq 1.5$  nm<sup>[5]</sup>. By eye, the initial estimate is manually set to 0.3 nm (see Figure 2.1b).

#### 3.5.2 Determining the metallicity

Having a good fit of the CaT and its individual lines makes it possible to determine the position of the CaT, the equivalent widths of the lines and the signal-to-noise. Using these, the metallicities and velocities can be determined for each star and the measurement errors. The equivalent widths per line can be determined, using equation 2.3.

Because the magnitude or colour values of the stars in the data cube were not given, they were estimated. This is done using the relative levels of the continuum flux at the same position in each spectrum and also at a different position to get a measure of colour. These estimates are rough but sufficient for the purposes in this research project. These flux measurements can be turned into an apparent magnitude  $V_{app}$  using:

$$V_{\rm app} = -2.5 \log_{10}(\text{flux}) + V_0 \tag{3.3}$$

where  $V_0$  is a zero point magnitude. Using the distance modulus  $\mu$ , this becomes an absolute magnitude V:

$$V = V_{app} - \mu$$

$$(\mu = 5 [\log_{10}(d)] \quad \text{distance } d \text{ in pc})$$

$$(3.4)$$

In the CaT method the difference in magnitude of the horizontal branch  $V_{\text{HB}}$  and the observed star is used. Combining V,  $V_{\text{HB}}$  and the EWs according equation 2.4, the value for W' is determined, and using equation 2.5 this becomes a metallicity, [Fe/H].

#### Signal-to-noise estimation

The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is a measurement of the fluctuations in the spectrum compared to the signal. It can be computed from a line free wavelength range, where the signal is due to the continuum, comparing this to the noise fluctuations in the same regime. It should be correlated with the magnitude of the star. For the same exposure time, the noise is constant and the brighter the star, the more signal and thus the higher SNR. In this project, the signal-to-noise is photon-limited because the faint-object observations are done using a CCD detector<sup>[15]</sup>. The relevant equation in this case is,

$$SNR = \frac{S}{N} = \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{\mu'}}$$
 with  $\mu'$  is the mean signal. (3.5)

## **3.6** Error Analysis

In order to make an estimate of the error in the result that is given by the algorithm of the previous sections, the error in equivalent width will be calculated via error propagation. First, the error in the intensity of the fit will be considered and that will be used to estimate the error in the equivalent width of each line. Afterwards the error in other measurements will be considered.

### **3.6.1** Error in *EW*

Per CaT line it is assumed that the error of  $A_0$  and  $\sigma_i$  are independent. Then, one can add the errors quadratically to get the error in line intensity:

$$\Delta_{I_{\text{line }i}}^{2} = \left(\frac{\partial I_{T}}{\partial A_{0}}\right)^{2} \left(\Delta_{A_{0}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial I_{T}}{\partial \sigma_{i}}\right)^{2} \left(\Delta_{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \ell_{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{i}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{\left(\left(\lambda_{0}+d_{i}\right)-\lambda\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}}\right)^{2} \left(\Delta_{A}\right)^{2}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \ell_{i} A_{0} \frac{2d_{i}^{2} - 4d_{i}\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda\right) + 2\lambda_{0}^{2} - 4\lambda_{0}\lambda - \sigma_{i}^{2} + 2\lambda^{2}\right)}{2\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{i}^{7/2}} e^{-\frac{\left(\left(\lambda_{0}+d_{i}\right)-\lambda\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}}\right)^{2} \left(\Delta_{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{2}$$

$$(3.6)$$

 $\Delta$  is used here for the standard deviation, to make a distinction between the standard deviation  $\sigma$  of the gaussian line.

The value for  $\Delta_A$  and  $\sigma_i$  will be the ones that are given by the standard deviation in these parameters from the fitting procedure.

The equivalent width is calculated by equation 2.3. To get the error  $\Delta EW$ , the error in line intensity is used as a bound. From the maximum  $EW_{\text{max}}$  and minimum  $EW_{\text{min}}$  from the intensity  $I_{\text{line}i} \pm \Delta_{I_{\text{line}i}} \Delta EW$  is calculated as the average of both:

$$\Delta EW_i = \frac{|EW_{i,\max} - EW_i| + |EW_{i,\min} - EW_i|}{2}.$$

#### **3.6.2** Error in other measurements

It is assumed that the algorithm finds the central position of each star. It may be that the actual position of the star is in a spaxel close the the central position. This error is estimated as the size of one resolution element, just as the error in the position of the triplet in the spectra:

$$\Delta x = \Delta y = 1 \text{px} \Rightarrow \Delta \text{RA} = \Delta \text{DEC} \simeq 5.55556 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{deg} \text{ and } \Delta \lambda = 0.13 \text{nm}.$$

# Chapter \_

# DISCUSSION OF THE RESULT

In this chapter the results of the previous chapter are analysed. The results for each star are shown in Appendix C. They are compared with what is known about the inputs. Since the MUSE instrumental team did not provide detailed photometry of the stars in the simulation, they are estimated<sup>[23]</sup>. At last, the fitting procedure is discussed using statistics.

# 4.1 x-, y Positions

In the simulated 3D MUSE data cube, the algorithm that is made for this report has detected 221 stars. This detection is based upon the shape of a star and a clipping level. In the simulated data cube, there are 23337 stars mostly below the detection threshold, to give realistic background noise with 1549 different spectra<sup>[23]</sup>, see Figure 4.1. Moreover, the difference in number of detected stars can be influenced by the clipping level. Lowering the clipping level will make it possible to detect more stars. Furthermore, from figure 4.1b one can conclude that the realistic noise should be high due to the high density of stars and thus in the image crowding.



Figure 4.1: x-y position diagram of (a) detected stars during this research. (b) Used by MUSE instrument team to simulation data cube<sup>[23]</sup>.

Bachelor Research Project: "Resolved Stars in a large IFU Data Cube with GIPSY"

B. Hut

# 4.2 Pseudo Colour-Magnitude Diagram

A pseudo colour  $B^{\rm PS}$  and  $V^{\rm PS}$  is determined for each star by simply using flux in a long wavelength interval for  $V^{\rm PS}$  and flux in a short wavelength interval for  $B^{\rm PS}$ . From a colour-magnitude diagram a main sequence and turn off should be visible. Since it is known that the simulated globular cluster only has 4 RGB stars (see figure 4.2b), Red Giant Branch stars should be visible. Recall from chapter 2 that the CaT method is calibrated using RGB stars, so the metallicities of those stars should be typical.  $V_{\rm HB}^{\rm PS}$  is estimated to be 16.9 mag so that  $V^{\rm PS} - V_{\rm HB}^{\rm PS}$  of the horizontal branch is about zero. All stars under  $V^{\rm PS} - V_{\rm HB}^{\rm PS} = 0$  should be on the main sequence. The pseudo colour-magnitude diagram is shown in Figure 4.2a. Comparing the colour-magnitude diagram with the one that is used by the MUSE instrumental team (see Figure 4.2b), it is clear that it is hard to localize the turn off point in the results from this research. Localizing a Red Giant Branch is possible and the 8 most brightest stars are selected so the metallicities can be checked.



Figure 4.2: Pseudo color-magnitude diagram. (a) Measured with the algorithm of this research, using pseudo colours. (b) Of the simulated stellar population<sup>[23]</sup>.

## 4.3 Metallicities

Some quantities of the stars that may be the RGB stars because of their position in the colourmagnitude diagram, are listed in Table 4.1. In the literature the summed pseudo-equivalent widths are often plotted to inspect cluster sequences. A cluster sequence is a linear line in a  $(V^{\rm PS} - V_{\rm HB}^{\rm PS}, EW_2 + EW_3)$  diagram<sup>[4]</sup>. To check the cluster sequence, Figure 4.3 can be used. Figure 4.3b shows the position for 8 brightest stars. It is clear that the slope of the cluster sequence would be negative, while it should be positive. This difference cannot be due to the fact that there are 8 stars plotted while there should only be 4 RGB stars. Selecting only the 4 brightest stars would still have a negative trend. Another explanation may be that the simulated data cube has same problems. This may be related to the spaxels with different properties described in section 3.4. This couldalso be related to the uncertainties in magnitude of the stars. It is nice to note that the order of magnitude of the pseudo-equivalent widths agrees the magnitude one would find in the literature. The magnitude of the metallicities in Table 4.1 should be  $\sim -0.7$ , since that is the value that is used to construct the data cube<sup>[23]</sup>.



Figure 4.3: Summed reduced-equivalent width of the two strongest CaT lines against the pseudo magnitude difference  $V - V_{\text{HB}}$ . (a) Measured with the algorithm of this research for 222 stars. (b) Zoom of the most bright, including RGB stars. (c) Typical values for such a measurement in the corresponding  $V - V_{\text{HB}}$  range for RGB stars<sup>[4]</sup>.

num	х	x y $\lambda$		$EW_1$ $EW_2$			$EW_3$		W'		
	$\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}$	px		nm	nm		nm		nm		nm
65	124	115	115 851.069496035		3.023325		2.73241147226		2.76966826944		5.3997961935
76	114	124	851.0	851.064669558		5293	1.92054452734		1.9282049421		3.1880524247
95	183	137	851.0	851.051792771		5792	2.40271686748		2.3810104516		4.3656810553
97	157	138	851.0	851.069885401		2.566248 $2.17741852$		2789	2.21640974064		4.2619711100
128	137	159	851.06242146		2.879	9378	2.5532108356		2.57072194604		4.8267942463
129	159	160	30 851.07147618		2.728	8848	2.43885026307		2.47927218233		4.6784365635
169	190	191	851.0	851.069979652		9347	7 3.9420173636		3.99667302034		7.8826590724
217	298	98 280 851.069881536		3.740228 3.52329551		786	3.587893706489		6.9520585054		
num		$SNR$ $B^{PS}$		$V^{\mathrm{PS}}$		$V^{\rm PS} - V^{\rm PS}_{\rm HB}$		ĺ	[Fe/H]		
		mag		mag		mag			dex		
65	91.	91.5024034525 16.57356		16.573561	0822 16.740181956		-0.1598180440 -0.43		-0.434	4089674839	
76	68.	512000	9039	15.812906	3502	502 15.8676608676		-1.0323391324 -1.4		-1.40	725693314
95	59.'	723040	00557	16.366246	8054	054 16.2468027129		-0.6531972871 -0.8		-0.88	910033565
97	89.	116725	52891	16.48436959		16.6939731898		-0.2060268102		-0.934732711593	
128	128 60.		2693	16.3438036922		2 16.4357210386 -0		-0.4	-0.4642789614 -0.68		5210531596
129	80.0	076127	74449	16.3289401746		16.5254908096 -		-0.3	-0.3745091904 -0.7		1487912039
169	41.	598729	9741	16.642220	9244	9244   16.8124510757		-0.0875489243 0.65		0.658	3369991853
217	97.	97.5631396311 16.44240291		9191	16.6513582517		-0.2486417483 0.248		3905742379		

Table 4.1: Results of 8 brightest stars in figure 4.2a.

# 4.4 SNR

The signal to noise ratio of the results from this research are shown in Figure 4.4a. It is expected that bright stars have relatively high SNR since for such stars the signal dominates the noise. The slope of the trend line in the figure is exactly this way. In addition, Figure 4.4b shows a histogram of the  $V^{\rm PS} - V_{\rm HB}^{\rm PS}$  magnitude. From bright to faint, the histogram first shows an increase. That increase is the main sequence, the decrease afterwards is due to the limiting magnitude of the telescope.



Figure 4.4: (a) SNR vs V of the measurements from this research. A green trend line is plotted. (b) Histogram of V of the measurement of this research.

## 4.5 Kolmogorov-Smirnov Goodness-of-Fit Test

Besides the results of the measurements, it is useful to discuss the algorithm that is used. Many of the measurements involved fitting. Because the data points in the simulated data cube were without weights, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov D test can be used as an statistical approach to judge this fitting. This test is based on the maximum difference between an empirical and a hypothetical cumulative distribution. The empirical distribution  $S_n(\lambda)$  is the summed spaxel and the hypothetical distribution  $F_0(\lambda)$  is the result from the fitting procedure with the best fitted parameters, recall Equation 3.1:

$$I_{T}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \ell_{i} A_{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{i}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{((\lambda_{0}+d_{i})-\lambda)^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}}} + (a\lambda + b).$$
  
$$= F_{0}(\lambda)$$
(4.1)

The parameters that were fitted: the overall amplitude  $A_0$  and position  $\lambda_0$ , the individual line's  $\sigma_i$ , and the slope a and offset b of the continuum. When D is defined to be the maximum difference between the model and the dataset,

$$D_n = \max |F_0(\lambda) - S_n(\lambda)|, \qquad (4.2)$$

then D follows the Kolmogorov distribution. The null hypothesis can also be defined

 $H_0$ : The spaxel data are consistent with the model with the best fit parameters;

 $H_{\alpha}$ : The spaxel data are *not* consistent with the model with the best fit parameters.

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov D test states that the null hypothesis is rejected at confidence level  $\alpha$  if  $D_n > D_{\alpha}$ , with  $D_{\alpha}$  the threshold value which is the solution of Equation 4.3:

Probability 
$$P(D_n < D_\alpha) = 1 - \alpha.$$
 (4.3)



Figure 4.5: The Kolmogorov-Smirnov D test for (a) & (c) one CaT line and (b) & (d) the whole CaT for the model that is used for the fitting.

Using software from the Kapteyn Package this Kolmogorov-Smirnov D test is applied on one summed spaxel<sup>[16 and references therein]</sup>. Figure 4.5 shows the plots needed to determine  $D_n$  and  $D_\alpha$ . The upper graph of Figure 4.5a shows the data and the best fit which is examined. The second graph shows the probability density function of the Kolmogorov distribution for the data that is in the first graph.  $D_\alpha$  is such that the area under the probability distribution function equals  $1 - \alpha$ , as can be seen in the cumulative distribution function in the bottom graph. Figure 4.5c shows the empirical cumulative distribution of both the data and the model. It is used to determine the maximum difference  $D_n$ . First, the fit of one CaT line is discussed and afterwards fit of all the lines of the CaT. In the case of the best fit of one CaT line (Figures 4.5a and 4.5c), the test results

that  $D_n > D_{\alpha=0.01}$  so the fit is rejected. In other words, the data is rejected to be consistent with the model with best fit parameters up to a confidence level of 99%. Inspection of Figure 4.5c shows indeed that there is quite a difference for  $I \sim 6.2$  and  $I \sim 6.4$ . The latter yields the largest difference and results in  $D_n$ . These intensity values occur at the level of the continuum, indicating that the fit goes wrong there. The test for the whole CaT fit results in  $D_n < D_{\alpha=0.01}$ , so  $H_0$  can be accepted. On the other hand, inspection of Figures 4.5b and 4.5d shows again a large difference at  $I \sim 6.2$  and  $I \sim 6.5$ , indicating again that the fit of the continuum can be improved. Inspecting the fit to the data a little more detailed in Figure 4.6, it is clear that the fit for 856.5 nm  $< \lambda < 866.5$  nm is too low. Moreover, the assumption that the area between the CaT lines does not contain any absorption nor emission lines may be wrong since at 852.7 nm, 859.5 nm, 862.5 nm, 863.4 nm or 866.0 nm, small absorption lines are visible. Inspection of the fit of one CaT line only (upper graph of Figure 4.5a) indicates another important detail: the transition from gaussian distributed line to the linear continuum is not fitted well. This makes the assumption that the CaT absorption lines can be represented by gaussian lines is perhaps not valid. Just for illustration, GIPSY's task XGAUPROF is used to show in Figure 4.7 the fit that is the result of using a gaussian profile and a Voigt profile. The data is inverted, since XGAUPROF only fits peaks. A Voigt profile is a convolution of Gaussian and a Lorentzian profile.



Figure 4.6: Zoom in on the data and the model for the CaT (see also upper graph in Figure 4.5b)





(b) Voigt profile

Figure 4.7: XGAUPROF fitting using 2 different profiles as a model (the red line is the fitted function, the green line can be ignored).

# Chapter C

# SUMMARY AND FUTURE RESEARCH

# 5.1 Summary

The goal of this research project was to find a way to measure metallicities in a 3D MUSE data cube accurately, using GIPSY and the Ca II Triplet (CaT) lines. Multiple standard tasks of GIPSY were inspected, but none of them was capable of handling data cubes as large as a 3D MUSE data cube without preprocessing. GIPSY's binding with Python however, made it possible to use GIPSY and process these larger data cubes. Here an algorithm is described that uses both GIPSY (step 1) and its Python binding (step 2-8) is created:

- 1. Create a classic header that can be read by GIPSY with the task FITSREPROJ.
- 2. Read the data in the FITS data cube.
- 3. Localise stars in the data cube and get their central spaxel.
- 4. Per star, make 2 squares in x and y around the central spaxel (the squares have initial edge length  $r_1 = 1$ px and  $r_2 = 3$ px, since 1px is the lower limit and adding a spaxel on all sides of the square leads to an increase of 2 px).

Sum the spaxels in the squares and do the following steps per summed spaxel:

- (a) Linear regression in the spectrum to find the continuum offset which is assumed to be linear in a spectral region around the CaT.
- (b) Find the CaT, using its known Gaussian line depths of individual lines  $\ell_i$  and the fixed distances between individual lines  $d_i$ .
- (c) Fit the formula for the CaT to the spectrum:

$$I(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=3} \ell_i A_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} e^{-\frac{((\lambda_0+d_i)-\lambda)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}} + (a\lambda+b).$$
(5.1)

Where  $I(\lambda)$  is the intensity of the spectrum as function of wavelength  $\lambda$ ; *i* runs from 1 to 3 and represents the 3 lines of the CaT;  $A_0$  is the overall triplet intensity which can be used with  $\ell_i$  to get line intensities;  $\mu_0$  the overall triplet position which can be used with  $d_i$  to get line positions;  $\sigma_i$  is the width of the Gaussian distributed line; *a* is the slope of the continuum and *b* is its offset. For the fitting,  $A_0, \mu_0, \sigma_i, a$  and *b* are the free parameters.  $\ell_i$  and  $d_i$  are known from other studies of the CaT.

(d) Estimate the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the spectrum by selecting a spectral range that is free of emission and absorption lines:  $\text{SNR}_{r_1} = \frac{S}{N}|_{r_1} = \frac{\mu'}{\sqrt{\mu'}}|_{r_1}$ , where  $\mu'$  is the mean of the intensity in the selected spectral region.

- 5. If  $\text{SNR}_{r_1} < \text{SNR}_{r_2}$ , repeat the steps of item 3 for an increased square: r' = r + 2px until  $\text{SNR}_{r_1} > \text{SNR}_{r_2}$  is satisfied. The summed spaxel of the final square with  $r_1$  will be the summed spaxel of the star because there the SNR changes sign and indicates the size of the star. This summed spaxel will be used in the next steps.
- 6. For the stars summed spaxel, apply a linear regression to find the estimate of the continuum offset for the spectral region around the CaT.
- 7. Fit the formula of step 3c to the stars spectrum with a non linear least squares algorithm.
- 8. Measure the equivalent widths, the position of the CaT, the SNR of the star and its total flux by using the best fit values of the free parameters.
- 9. Save the stars measured data.

Using this algorithm, a total of 221 stars were detected in the simulated data. Inspection of the measured equivalent widths tells that they are consistent with the values that are found in the literature.

### 5.2 Future research

In the future, this research can be optimized. For example, the magnitude of the horizontal branch is assumed to be of zero magnitude, which of course is as estimate. As said before, GIPSY has evolved before and can do that in the future. The implemented code that is used can be optimized and perhaps implemented in GIPSY as a new task. Optimization include the usage of 2D Gaussians or other functions in the algorithm that detect the stars following the form of the spatial intensity of stars. Also, detecting stars can be improved by using the spectral information that is in the spaxels. For this, it may be useful to note that the spaxels are different when the spaxel contains flux of a star or not. This difference is shown in Figure 5.1. At last, the profile that is used to represent the CaT lines (Equation 5.1) may be reconsidered since the transition from the absorption lines to the continuum is not fitted well. Moreover, the assumption that the CaT spectral region is free of other lines is not valid since minor lines are visible.

MUSE will see first light later this year, it would be exciting to see the result of this algorithm when a real MUSE data cube will be used as input.



Figure 5.1: The complete spectrum from MUSE for a very bright star of one inner bright spaxel, an intermediate bright spaxels, an outer spaxel and a spaxel that does not contain flux of a star. This figure is a copy of Figure 3.6.

# Chapter 6

# Acknowledgements

It would not have been possible to do this project, without the help of some people. First of all, I would like to thank my supervisors Eline Tolstoy and Martin Vogelaar for their help and support. Eline Tolstoy gave me the insights and suggestions when it was needed and without Martin Vogelaars help, it would not have been possible to get a feeling and understanding of GIPSY and of fitting algorithms. Of course, I would like to thank the instrumental team of MUSE for the simulated data cube. Furthermore, I would like to thank other people of the Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, the computer group in particular: they provided me with enough computing power and storage, to be able to handle large data cubes.

Last but not least, I would like to thank Daniël Siepman, Sander Bus, Robin Kooistra, Mirjam Soeten and Jonathan Calluy for reviewing this report and giving hints to improve this project.

34

# REFERENCES

- [1] Integral Field Spectroscopy Wiki, http://ifs.wikidot.com/what-is-ifs, 21 May 2009.
- [2] A Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer MUSE, http://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/develop/instruments/muse/, European Southern Observatory, 18 October 2010.
- [3] Groningen Image Processing System, http://www.astro.rug.nl/~gipsy/, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen.
- [4] Armandroff & da Costa, "Metallicities for Old Stellar Systems from Ca II Triplet Strengths in Member Giants", The Astronomical Journal, April 1991, vol 101, p 1329.
- [5] Battaglia et al., "Analysis and calibration of CA II triplet spectroscopy of red giant branch stars from VLT/FLAMES observations", Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, September 2007, vol 383, p 183.
- [6] Starkenburg et al., "The NIR Ca II triplet at low metallicity: Searching for extremely lowmetallicity stars in classical dwarf galaxies", Astronomy & Astrophysics, January 2010, vol 513, p A34.
- [7] Noyola et al., "Very large Telescope Kinematics for Omega Centauri: Further Support for a Central Black Hole", The Astrophysical Journal Letters, August 2010, vol 719, p L60.
- [8] Laurent et al., "Design of an Integral Field Unit for MUSE, and Results from Prototyping", Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, November 2006, vol 118, p 1546.
- [9] Weilbacher et al., "Advanced Data Reduction Techniques for MUSE", Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 2009, vol 411, p 159.
- [10] FITS Working Group, "Definition of the Flexible Image Transport System (FITS): FITS Standard, Version 3.0", International Astronomical Union, 10 July 2008.
- [11] Bertin and Arnouts, "SExtractor: Software for source extraction", Astronomy & Astrophysics Supplement Series, 1 June 1996, vol 117, p 393.
- [12] Stahler and Palla, "The Formation of Stars", WILEY VCH, 2004, p 628.
- [13] Vogelaar, "Introduction to Programming and Computational Methods", Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen. Available via the intranet of the Kapteyn Astronomical Institute.
- [14] Burden and Faires, "Numerical Analysis", eigth edition, Brooks/COLE, 2005, p 482-490.

 $Bachelor \ Research \ Project: \ ``Resolved \ Stars \ in \ a \ large \ IFU \ Data \ Cube \ with \ GIPSY"$ 

- [15] Wall and Jenkins, "Practical Statistics for Astronomers", Cambridge University Press, 2008, p28-29.
- [16] Kapteyn Package v2.2b1 documentation, http://www.astro.rug.nl/software/kapteyn/, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, 8 March 2012.
- [17] McDowell and Tody et al., "IVOA Spectral Data Model", International Virtual Observatory Alliance, version 1.03, 29 October 2007, p 35.
- [18] Wilson and Merrill, "Intensities of the Infrared CaII Triplet in Stellar Spectra", Contributions from the Mount Wilson Observatory, May 1937, vol 575, p 1.
- [19] Caillier et al., "The MUSE Project from the dream towards reality", Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, July 2010, vol 7738.
- [20] Laurent et al., "MUSE Integral Field Unit: Test results on the first out of 24", Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, July 2010, vol 7739.
- [21] MUSE, http://muse.univ-lyon1.fr, Muse Consortium, January 2012.
- [22] Rutledge et al., "Galactic Globular Cluster Metallicity Scale from the Ca II Triplet II. Rankings, Comparisons, and Puzzles", Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, August 1997, vol 109, p 907-919.
- [23] Kamann et at., "Globular Cluster", March 2010 (document from MUSE instrumental team).
## NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING

#### This is a Dutch translation of the abstract.

De MUSE (Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer) is een tweede generatie instrument welke opgesteld zal worden bij de VLT (Very Large Telescope) van de ESO (European Southern Observatory) te Paranal in Chili, later dit jaar. Dit nieuwe instrument combineert twee ruimtelijke coordinaten  $(1 \times 1 \text{ boogminuut})$  en één spectraalcoordinaat (465.00 - 930.14 nm). Dit zal leiden tot een grote hoeveelheid gedetailleerde informatie die gebruikt kan worden om astrofysische problemen op te lossen. De grote datakubussen zijn relatief nieuw voor de optische sterrenkunde, terwijl ze in de radiosterrenkunde al veel langer voorkomen. GIPSY (Groningen Image Processing System) is een goed voorbeeld van softwareontwikkeling om 3D datakubussen van radiotelescopen te analyseren. Door gebruik te maken van dit softwarepakket, in combinatie met Python en een gesimuleerde datakubus met sterren in een sterrenhoop die gemaakt is door het instrumentatieteam van het MUSE consortium, kan er tijdens dit klein onderzoek een algoritme ontwikkeld worden om zulke grote optische datakubussen te verwerken. In dit verslag wordt aangetoond hoe een spectrum van individuele sterren kan worden gemaakt en hoe daaruit de equivalente breedte bepaald kan worden. Welke vervolgens gebruikt worden om de hoeveelheid metaal in een ster te bepalen. Dit algoritme zal niet alleen nuttig zijn om de gesimuleerde datakubus te verwerken, maar ook om de datakubussen te verwerken die binnenkort van MUSE zullen komen.

Bachelor Research Project: "Resolved Stars in a large IFU Data Cube with GIPSY"

B. Hut

 $A_{\text{Appendix}}$ 

# PARAMETERS OF GIPSY'S FITSREPROJ

Using GIPSY's task fitsreproj, the selected MUSE dataset (in .fits format) can be converted into a GIPSY .fits file with an older type of header.

A listing of the GIPSY interface, using  ${\tt FITSREPROJ}^*$  is provided here:

<USER> FITSREPROJ MAKEGDS=Y (start fitsreproj) 23/03/11 16:57: <USER> FITSREPROJ LEGACY=Y
 <USER> FITSREPROJ FITSFILE=muse\_data.fits FITSREPROJ: Filename: muse\_data.fits No. Name Type Cards Cards Dimensions Format PrimaryHDU 0 PRIMARY 48() uint8 ImageHDU (301, 301, 3578) float32 (301, 301, 3578) float32 33 1 DATA 2 STAT ImageHDU 31FITSREPROJ: File is a LOCAL FITS file. <USER> FITSREPROJ HDUNR=1 Axes information Axis 1: RA----TAN from pixel 1 to301 {crpix=150 crval=0 cdelt=1 (deg)} {wcs type=longitude, wcs unit=deg} Axis 2: DEC--TAN from pixel 1 to 3011 to{crpix=150 crval=0 cdelt=1 (deg)} {wcs type=latitude, wcs unit=deg} tis 3: AWAV from pixel 1 to
{crpix=1 crval=4.65E-07 cdelt=1 (m)} Axis 3: AWAV 3578{wcs type=spectral, wcs unit=m} World coordinates information Native sky system: EQUATORIAL Native reference system: Native Equinox: ICRS 2000.0 EQUATORIAL Output sky system: Output reference system: ICRS Output Equinox: 2000.0 Projection's epoch: J2000.0 Date of observation from DATE-OBS: None Date of observation from MJD-OBS: None Axis number longitude axis: Axis number latitude axis: 1 Axis number spectral axis: None Selected spectral translation: None Header Analysis Header is not 'classic'. It has PC or CD elements. Program needs to modify: ['CD1\_1', 'CD1\_2', 'CD2\_1', 'CD2\_2', 'CDELT1', 'C Found (average) image rotation angle 0 (deg).

\*mind the hidden keyword MAKEGDS=Y

Re-project options

```
    Re-project the data to the WCS of a second FITS file
    Rotate data over a given angle (it has to create a 'classic' header first
This re-projection removes skew.

2. Rotate image so that it is aligned to the north (it has to create a 'clas
     This re-projection removes skew.
3. Rotate to given angle. This re-projection removes skew
The value of FITS keyword CROTAn will be the given angle.
4. Give a number of FITS keywords and values which are inserted
    in the header and re-project the data to this modified header
You can select a sky definition and a projection type from a list.
5. Make classic header and copy the data (do NOT re-project).
    You lose the WCS representation of skew in the destination header.
<USER> FITSREPROJ OPTION=5
FITSREPROJ: Number of pixels in this structure is: 324170378
FITSREPROJ: Maximum number of pixels allowed by GIPSY set is 534773760
FITSREPROJ: You should be able to use this file in GIPSY!
<USER> FITSREPROJ LIMITS_RA=
<USER> FITSREPROJ LIMITS_DEC=
<USER> FITSREPROJ LIMITS_AWAV=
FITSREPROJ: Limits spatial output x: 1 to 301, y: 1 to 301
FITSREPROJ: Lower axis limits used on repeat axes: [1]
FITSREPROJ: Upper axis limits used on repeat axes: [3578]
<USER> FITSREPROJ FITSOUT=muse_reproj_data.fits
<USER> FITSREPROJ GDSNAME=
<FITSREPROJ> RFITS AUTO=Y FITSFILE=muse_reproj_data.fits; INFILES=0; OUTSET=
          e_reproj_data
                                                            (start rfits)
                                                                                        23/03/11 17:04:
RFITS Version 1.3 (Mar 16 2011)
File muse_reproj_data.fits into muse_reproj_data (-,-,-)
                                                             (end
                                                                      rfits)
                                                                                        23/03/11 17:06:
<STATUS> RFITS +++ FINISHED +++
card is too long, comment is truncated.
card is too long, comment is truncated.
card is too long, comment is truncated.
                                                                       fitsreproj) 23/03/11 17:06:
                                                             (end
<STATUS> FITSREPROJ +++ FINISHED +++
```

Doing this, the header of the new .fits file is:

SIMPLE = T / conforms to FITS standard BITPIX = -32 / array data type NAXIS = 3 / number of array dimensions NAXIS1 = 301 NAXIS2 = 301 NAXIS3 = 3578EXTEND = Т  $\rm CDELT1~=5.555555555555555555556E-05$  / Appended by Kapteyn Package module Maputils 17  $\rm CDELT2~=5.5555555555555555556E-05$  / Appended by Kapteyn Package module Maputils 17 0.13 / Step wavelength CDELT3 = GCOUNT = 1 / number of groups 2000 / Standard FK5 (years) EQUINOX = CUNIT1 = 'deg/ Spatial units CROTA2 = 0.0 / Appended by Kapteyn Package module Maputils 17d / Wavelength units CUNIT3 = 'nm CUNIT2 = 'deg/ Spatial units CRPIX1 = 150.0 / Start x spatial coord CRVAL2 = 0.0 / Start y spatial coord CRVAL3 = 465.0 / Start wavelength CRPIX2 =150.0 / Start y spatial coord in pixel CRPIX3 = 1.0 / Start wavelength in pixel CRVAL1 = 0.0 / Start x spatial coord PCOUNT = 0 / number of parameters CTYPE3 = 'AWAV CTYPE2 = 'DEC-TAN'CTYPE1 = 'RA--TAN'HISTORY File modified by user 'vogelaar' with fv on 2011-03-09T14:06:44 END

## Appendix

### DATA FOR TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

#### B.1 Spatial Data

Figure B.1 shows how the finding stars algorithm works, based on the shape of a star in both RAand DEC-direction.

#### B.2 Spectral Data

To do the spectral analysis of the spaxels in figure 3.3a, it is convenient to assign a character to each spaxels, so that it is clear which spaxel is discussed. This is done for the most particular spaxels. Figure B.2 shows the combination spaxel - character. The complete spectral range is shown Figure B.4 and a zoom on the CaT region is shown in Figure B.3.

#### B.3 SNR and summing Flux

More boxes around a star and the corresponding summed spectra are plotted in figure B.6. Some of the boxes are shown in figure 3.9.



(c) Adding same restrictions in vertical di-(d) Adding clipping (at 2% of maximum inrection. tensity value).

Figure B.1: Finding stars algorithm (white dot in subfigures means that there is a star detected).



**Figure B.2:** Combination of spaxels and characters: this combination makes it easier to discuss the individual spaxels. Inspection of all spaxels yields a number of typical spaxels: see upper case characters (A, B, ..., H). Lower case characters are in the text represented by the upper case character, because similarity between the spaxels (that means: 'A' and 'a' are similar, spaxel 'A' is discussed in the text and showed in the appendix and represents also spaxels 'a'.)



(c) Spectrum of spaxel C: high SNR and 2 lines(d) Spectrum of spaxel D: high SNR and 1 line visvisible (no CaT visible). ible (no CaT visible).



(e) Spectrum of spaxel E: CaT visible, typical SNR.(f) Spectrum of spaxel F: high SNR and CaT visible.

Figure B.3: Spectra of the star in Figure B.2.



(g) Spectrum of spaxel G: high SNR and CaT and(h) Spectrum of spaxel H: typical SNR, CaT still some other lines visible.



(i) Spectrum of spaxel I: typical SNR, CaT still vis-(j) Spectrum of spaxel J: typical SNR, CaT still ible.



(k) Spectrum of spaxel a (the spaxel under A): sim-(l) Spectrum of spaxel h (upper left one): similar ilar with A. with H.

Figure B.3: Spectra of the star in Figure B.2 (cont).



**Figure B.4:** The complete spectrum from MUSE for some spaxels of the star in Figure B.2. The green lines represent the spectral range shown in Figure B.3. Negative values for the intensity are not plotted since they are unphysical. The spectrum in (f) is added to show a spaxel that does not contain any flux of a star is therefore not assigned in Figure B.2.

B. Hut



**Figure B.5:** The complete spectrum from MUSE for some spaxels of the star in Figure B.2. The green lines represent the spectral range shown in Figure B.3. Negative values for the intensity are not plotted since they are unphysical. The spectrum in (f) is added to show a spaxel that does not contain any flux of a star is therefore not assigned in Figure B.2 (cont).



Figure B.6: Summing flux. Boxes left and corresponding summed intensities right.



Figure B.6: Summing flux. Boxes left and corresponding summed intensities right (cont).



Figure B.6: Summing flux. Boxes left and corresponding summed intensities right (cont).



Figure B.6: Summing flux. Boxes left and corresponding summed intensities right (cont).



The rest of this appendix is printed in landscape format.

54		1																									Ap	pe	ndi	х (	С.	RI	ESUL
[Fe/H]	dex	1.45500998655	1.55632637291	1.41655421722	0.970969073134	1.4579717398	1.51690348819	0.992310129238	1.30697728699	1.47716891847	1.61783860732	1.21380292419	1.41162332405	0.900648473945	1.54003271165	1.07108927252	0.746622909363	1.86514602792	1.84762782136	1.77297678633	1.99430983465	1.16480543742	1.34724333194	1.09405780807	1.18494901087	1.23282819698	1.50584235704	0.765494407031	1.24879971811	0.492674681314	1.0095189645	1.39322922815	0.909167494727
$V_{ m PS}$	mag	15.375997887	15.1598719233	14.5985338743	14.0261839197	15.4216404186	14.538838191	14.2806697482	14.9917267534	15.0497416042	15.8000069997	14.5857673651	15.2173512068	12.2624872022	15.3755153478	14.2176039107	12.9761894161	16.1549155536	15.622177312	15.7631681593	16.967753529	14.798679715	15.4409538416	13.9638220715	14.5677897175	14.2577964465	14.302424724	13.2942031209	13.9441819215	12.7317275322	14.273043259	15.243618849	13.8113019137
$B_{ m PS}$	mag	15.0659360012	14.8971092343	14.3836247858	13.7334886299	15.0964738652	14.2076337565	13.9759053294	14.6588108041	14.7774395189	15.5140836249	14.2680452727	14.9065041696	12.0482734271	15.076523214	13.8900731355	12.668824383	15.8741913565	15.3874948958	15.5177241242	16.6955317218	14.4683155723	15.120547065	13.6707043436	14.2528979976	13.9788588781	14.0606267482	12.9837930878	13.6993194335	12.5377865959	13.9343325225	14.9407618306	13.5128855725
SNR		52.2645073279	47.3204654162	48.177350719	51.9787085747	46.1474162125	45.0900629408	49.9813615084	48.125960526	45.2783721447	47.7275083303	49.1830790741	49.2384202627	43.389167458	44.4307600879	52.8977153034	54.340864775	44.5026871252	36.8059768042	40.9620164245	-93.6085253194	51.2243546325	41.6612115881	48.965515236	47.6452901373	50.5812865256	36.0839568855	52.9136869162	45.8723471069	85.9062273527	47.6891985778	45.0338926831	53.4085326679
$EW_3$	nm	3.46291080149	3.64037923061	3.65239877159	3.33992955197	3.45442016989	3.81049610773	3.28426563165	3.42148096421	3.58685933223	3.5078641704	3.44290843216	3.46456602803	3.81558432118	3.55881165841	3.39974827688	3.42480840607	3.67674990977	3.8199546845	3.69069111869	3.560337795	3.31974295243	3.31845860288	3.50192881003	3.41490724497	3.56365311411	3.85541449373	3.34402898885	3.6769235774	3.1928451052	3.31289382558	3.43328692059	3.33954069276
$EW_2$	nm	3.36565506574	3.55677176782	3.58634458801	3.25242426927	3.35166573451	3.69448981211	3.19371965961	3.31658047498	3.50087176488	3.41940091192	3.34320710005	3.36692766338	3.74571585568	3.46329650003	3.2976426578	3.32966425255	3.58543601756	3.74336961166	3.61273759192	3.47518593428	3.21875075135	3.22361123833	3.41008371888	3.31713690602	3.47560306233	3.77576631253	3.24980466609	3.59934480676	3.14092809537	3.20908340795	3.33959071638	3.24987947957
$EW_1$	nm	3.380807	3.548242	3.521269	3.260375	3.40246	3.811765	3.219419	3.379639	3.468906	3.391084	3.376821	3.374169	3.764109	3.454535	3.323018	3.343564	3.580381	3.453047	3.552364	3.488152	3.296025	3.371528	3.427165	3.374614	3.493647	3.771705	3.284901	3.600533	3.391512	3.266391	3.382341	3.273817
X	nm	851.071637185	851.072027726	851.073134522	851.06940856	851.069294633	851.072588186	851.081153039	851.072127862	851.065217646	851.072223095	851.069739062	851.071268082	851.073918393	851.063696154	851.065118302	851.067839779	851.072063948	851.06254813	851.07660017	851.06806965	851.074819558	851.072802469	851.072614861	851.072087265	851.067316667	851.069757943	851.068801881	851.065743076	851.066671392	851.070297885	851.06721916	851.069282233
y	рх	4	$\infty$	15	15	16	19	20	25	27	27	28	33	35	36	37	48	50	53	53	55	56	63	65	65	67	20	73	75	76	77	78	80
x	рх	242	137	74	199	274	150	143	94	144	277	130	146	161	129	225	136	278	162	292	49	182	248	152	191	142	121	171	191	219	159	67	179
mum		1	2	c,	4	5	9	2	x	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32

Table C.1: Results of this research (cont).

Appendix C. Results

																																		55
[Fe/H]	$\operatorname{dex}$	0.930652776177	1.97817515984	0.73215228451	1.35976327617	1.01957068583	1.20352330575	1.29366055532	1.47582373645	0.951954200234	1.33194495812	0.143527529405	1.10801871673	1.36995577865	1.1593730395	-0.018232186091	0.816329393761	0.841293511193	1.66774871706	1.21327641038	1.31925360386	0.986326049457	1.18212618541	0.874675386365	0.984866879936	0.441152100142	1.54740300208	1.31128676454	1.24578501398	1.63489140557	1.38529925057	0.732138216371	-0.396822975146	
$V_{ m PS}$	mag	13.6823540035	13.8783320456	11.9343843062	14.1265535354	13.6527847377	14.689647543	14.302397177	14.5734726733	13.7246694119	14.9215943808	11.50890122	14.3127121991	14.8173570149	14.6758915132	11.1969561478	13.6264730992	12.8615024493	15.3927416473	14.4773893359	15.1672845761	13.5667040213	14.5206059592	13.395650599	13.4039591912	11.4368517102	15.6573113869	13.8111368976	14.1836194979	15.4524593111	14.901049702	13.2256768077	10.8725210884	
$B_{ m PS}$	mag	13.3880577447	13.8323178315	11.7688331831	13.912790014	13.3662551822	14.3750961407	14.0614234978	14.3749583635	13.414749622	14.644430238	11.312726839	14.0357926559	14.5059502827	14.3703019166	11.0556793137	13.3132750032	12.5816355118	15.1674557193	14.174866533	14.8563835619	13.2599096337	14.2507539056	13.0842687774	13.1390546757	11.2539073301	15.3772555184	13.6013162204	13.9338489273	15.1910683554	14.6179446464	12.9257705728	10.7059002146	
SNR		51.1979861252	31.2060570277	50.0270743999	43.6960408547	47.4951813893	48.2467119969	46.2252144944	40.6836421504	49.1568627089	45.4541893291	76.3279893536	52.6424531751	44.4626492275	49.4869089469	89.4690064437	52.9663333406	47.1034784315	37.6490587765	48.5066432123	42.2040746407	47.5529060215	41.8683352253	48.4307828735	46.0906004483	55.2337595188	46.0631773906	41.5694130411	44.9740421593	43.5014739569	47.7335519536	52.8821107164	91.5024034525	earch (cont)
$EW_3$	nm	3.40532726657	4.49452139588	3.71906369063	3.73948365754	3.5156962641	3.39664326373	3.61197099409	3.72555777431	3.41904896042	3.46240046714	3.18781312919	3.4019178268	3.54647841804	3.34862172121	3.09544797231	3.29524305395	3.56567978778	3.68587987825	3.47450852296	3.37542971503	3.50920802429	3.41180102035	3.43705690258	3.552256539	3.54993364994	3.47236147749	3.78497459168	3.59691498129	3.63565937817	3.53149269979	3.32581621883	2.76966826944	Results of this res
$EW_2$	nm	3.31544975341	4.48156236733	3.66927645455	3.67225679838	3.42609124427	3.29962618548	3.53699607471	3.66392820671	3.32305852559	3.37729039759	3.13505265683	3.31853435834	3.44631258932	3.25611007287	3.05942694292	3.20147187565	3.47735298827	3.61546709713	3.37977232565	3.27817543774	3.41211515086	3.34402522352	3.33998895582	3.46990703302	3.49546330129	3.38614787597	3.71845862234	3.51926175363	3.55279258446	3.44260651493	3.23606475237	2.73241147226	Table C 1.
$EW_1$	nm	3.360601	4.339699	3.586474	3.638953	3.439834	3.288036	3.550348	3.636796	3.356225	3.397652	3.378402	3.349755	3.360997	3.286779	3.356281	3.216587	3.498091	3.546724	3.480664	3.391964	3.417341	3.273699	3.391694	3.448452	3.626144	3.38028	3.683205	3.460653	3.544256	3.449827	3.235719	3.023325	
X	nm	851.068536602	851.058869326	851.070136797	851.059292063	851.067769374	851.067061525	851.065781896	851.058725634	851.070165002	851.069176617	851.070597974	851.064279405	851.066672912	851.068580792	851.068478625	851.067283359	851.069668897	851.075752212	851.067666202	851.066669997	851.07051	851.074761986	851.067562584	851.070109485	851.070340458	851.063268554	851.067704549	851.068782139	851.074455312	851.070661302	851.067892564	851.069496035	
У	хd	83	83	84	84	86	88	80	06	91	93	95	95	96	96	97	66	101	102	103	104	106	109	110	111	111	112	112	113	113	114	115	115	
×	хd	145	204	93	184	111	40	170	167	111	74	84	123	50	253	96	268	157	x	61	39	81	275	158	78	238	30	166	34	20	55	79	124	
mum		33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	61	62	63	64	65	

Table C.1: Results of this research (cont).

56		1																									Ap	pe	ndi	х (	С.	Rı	ESU	LTS
[Fe/H]	dex	0.78991150499	1.16479339215	0.805997874059	-0.344709062387	1.3434838787	0.949393876017	0.750248590483	0.713055298789	0.973708559264	0.961545297685	-1.36999023345	0.918295457153	0.786333744888	1.06567245395	0.848148664809	0.86941913903	0.928698739387	0.77323092448	0.805886696344	1.01298951764	1.44904900154	0.650462959778	0.764032503643	1.70597833044	0.988904214988	0.890434133275	0.697177586181	1.09460041407	-0.362963304381	-0.851833635957	0.965412195382	-0.8974660119	
$V_{ m PS}$	mag	12.989995335	14.093608309	13.0584132054	11.4492447215	14.8491906607	13.9183357497	12.8638273009	13.2022028346	13.6233424356	12.6570936526	10.0	12.9719321899	12.9874041205	14.1463116569	13.3414225912	13.4712760571	12.8910924651	12.7224666785	13.3230709419	14.3731960847	14.9103672995	12.7610141303	11.862372813	15.3929552027	13.5464520359	13.4935097765	12.6009667326	13.1340874577	11.3878983117	10.3791418453	13.813889097	10.8263123223	
$B_{ m PS}$	mag	12.6665382884	13.8050098899	12.7443967021	11.2425823176	14.5194983812	13.5997691644	12.5479874017	12.9438681955	13.315532249	12.4229025557	9.9452454826	12.6535983406	12.6969207258	13.8282714895	13.0276651042	13.1790281776	12.5844942283	12.3944350649	13.0103593618	14.040829009	14.6303447408	12.458207545	11.6055323657	15.1808928164	13.3531598056	13.2286232534	12.2872411681	12.8721102156	11.1553777208	10.4985859378	13.4976750569	10.6167087275	
SNR		47.6641743407	46.6396958374	50.112533397	77.4515356328	44.346223678	50.2331607376	48.4594583032	54.4249386849	44.6652866947	44.1761495476	68.5120009039	43.6785492698	50.2369370844	48.4120461067	46.8404295094	48.9424818855	41.9655643076	46.1684806378	50.1513746354	49.7664056186	42.1686139714	50.6776267116	42.4077792598	39.236165023	52.4390728981	48.3325014185	47.6123786855	35.8967106671	71.3941200015	59.7230400557	48.1382726645	89.1167252891	earch (cont)
$EW_3$	nm	3.47341610356	3.5403850015	3.467870301	2.64860395128	3.5092336018	3.35489154338	3.46711260798	3.30397494981	3.47656370814	3.76119190875	1.9282049421	3.62612884539	3.4637624121	3.41483291573	3.42447709585	3.40286618389	3.66194073895	3.54188643304	3.38158021306	3.28315024929	3.60114032454	3.38300738975	3.79564859679	3.72722204361	3.49817024664	3.41481596208	3.49081513411	3.7649274743	2.65088742392	2.3810104516	3.40682877272	2.21640974064	Results of this res
$EW_2$	nm	3.37060393885	3.44932702651	3.36892223402	2.60281337609	3.40302046329	3.25745056776	3.36751198871	3.2295590969	3.37983476777	3.68596201193	1.92054452734	3.52123331933	3.3737847346	3.31587411011	3.32698668396	3.31383336463	3.5608026729	3.43544153928	3.28557869397	3.18261679656	3.5118814255	3.29063211179	3.71123394752	3.66087374129	3.44197366625	3.33541535657	3.39142430743	3.67934840292	2.59830469211	2.40271686748	3.30876446742	2.17741852789	Table C 1.
$EW_1$	nm	3.440308	3.453316	3.407621	2.877258	3.481331	3.289663	3.409418	3.194281	3.502309	3.661794	111.6293	3.565607	3.409545	3.359029	3.413457	3.35011	3.576139	3.492004	3.310805	3.238296	3.507398	3.320136	3.58442	3.593688	3.391652	3.329676	3.433926	3.650084	2.889079	2.755792	3.356376	2.566248	
X	nm	851.068604317	851.067629227	851.071142895	851.072391704	851.068218704	851.069055009	851.066916476	851.065028887	851.073201956	851.066609091	851.064669558	851.06880135	851.072134906	851.073359769	851.064950807	851.066609219	851.067201584	851.067858225	851.070265432	851.068240007	851.065903802	851.068768925	851.070118108	851.066841067	851.077183639	851.062277364	851.069006619	851.064824576	851.06949489	851.051792771	851.068964402	851.069885401	
у	рх	115	115	117	118	118	120	120	120	122	123	124	124	126	128	129	129	129	131	131	131	131	132	133	134	134	135	135	136	137	137	137	138	
×	рх	160	229	135	166	238	51	148	197	158	187	114	130	166	73	143	148	158	128	218	234	242	150	134	53	196	126	152	258	160	183	214	157	
unu		66	67	68	60	20	71	72	73	74	75	$\overline{76}$	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	67	

B. Hut

Table C.1: Results of this research (cont).

[Fe/H]	dex	1.19830427293	0.933109456121	0.946005472142	0.876007525456	0.975444655252	0.98569664598	1.21776123967	1.29844350245	0.915411797985	0.999827214415	0.958911900926	0.584841355133	0.510192524296	0.863000285561	1.28730933866	0.742584030101	0.68578885017	0.526283134763	0.737285117147	1.3568457275	1.32917661027	0.685535105747	0.926614517756	0.925531194154	0.757000242569	0.64181306153	1.00047652598	0.949673243353	0.826198453222	0.586596685447	-0.648943831903	-0.714221212346
$V_{ m PS}$	mag	13.6613606849	13.2114902776	13.2952985209	12.795208025	13.6507128927	13.3755077365	14.4892063392	14.6241564876	13.2850161783	12.604860341	13.1173372359	11.9845365393	11.8227840755	13.6951753654	14.7397762207	12.9665971875	12.8846569634	11.8392144041	13.0019681303	12.6966392924	14.7621731504	12.20408911	13.4507374733	12.0132634457	12.7735830173	12.4017798575	12.1392604652	13.3203243591	13.164608243	11.6765396938	10.5680601711	10.657829942
$B_{ m PS}$	mag	13.4619624964	12.9122705307	13.0241496304	12.5612541416	13.3527595889	13.1431464408	14.1856695946	14.3349378685	13.0930423051	12.4050491719	12.8550661139	11.7323831687	11.5635629924	13.4003152883	14.4470647858	12.7812572248	12.5848559775	11.5785869077	12.6972196377	12.5453906342	14.4486735022	11.9274575921	13.1372239425	11.8079936332	12.4466511158	12.1174298338	11.9197066452	13.0374173318	12.8588002455	11.4873430327	10.4761428247	10.461279307
SNR		47.2115465801	45.6233791268	47.3833333966	42.5549772632	52.125061417	46.8909350666	49.8292766794	45.5685844396	51.4933151534	43.4278677468	46.2139230325	59.3700079514	49.5663129976	52.8582519735	47.6484533525	55.9592590023	50.823619114	50.6108996038	50.9924020034	33.9786894385	48.3220738468	47.6865441425	46.8390618309	40.8226963335	46.7087684486	49.094616266	39.1607556646	46.2670968483	48.1401611906	51.2573324856	60.9560742693	80.0761274449
$EW_3$	um	3.70193357113	3.56167357023	3.54397206319	3.61825040105	3.46776296614	3.55640824271	3.4760507906	3.52234835293	3.49815693005	3.81545840648	3.61470816733	3.55042872722	3.51741820456	3.32336727821	3.47278589746	3.40184978478	3.38305266287	3.53084945379	3.40524047824	4.1850752455	3.51770815304	3.59882591816	3.47943441436	3.92216035067	3.50637269667	3.48601069739	3.97008775649	3.54233429451	3.45509759792	3.63974093331	2.57072194604	2.47927218233
$EW_2$	um	3.64057802902	3.46603959786	3.45941295646	3.54610629356	3.37506408991	3.48585009224	3.38085996973	3.43156309147	3.44227771123	3.75212918983	3.53190486745	3.471016422	3.43789208778	3.23544840967	3.38182400009	3.34958262909	3.29174154004	3.45051499747	3.31151154822	4.13517953349	3.41772059949	3.51095501903	3.38039932487	3.85519466713	3.40135290534	3.39787987909	3.89695946846	3.45337003235	3.35964052023	3.58281158414	2.5532108356	2.4385026307
$EW_1$	um	3.595254	3.477035	3.478553	3.47528	3.390971	3.447839	3.399092	3.44147	3.39791	3.703166	3.531316	3.634002	3.445106	3.269317	3.415595	3.318218	3.34113	3.400634	3.34713	4.043631	3.457874	3.514618	3.411833	3.784668	3.466283	3.415892	3.789645	3.465238	3.395773	3.53009	2.879378	2.728848
X	mm	851.06990659	851.069832586	851.067641105	851.068215317	851.070414865	851.06427631	851.055176411	851.068772741	851.064874929	851.066881008	851.07078317	851.070767346	851.069953957	851.073156633	851.08132032	851.068160443	851.06773976	851.070010156	851.065157238	851.060374046	851.064477991	851.068077098	851.067306641	851.069139976	851.066734762	851.070447985	851.070352728	851.068406312	851.068536525	851.069771717	851.06242146	851.07147618
y	xd	139	139	140	140	141	141	141	143	143	145	146	146	149	150	150	151	152	153	153	154	155	155	155	156	156	156	158	158	158	159	159	160
×	xd	16	148	115	264	104	133	249	58	146	186	190	208	151	197	238	170	165	152	188	122	21	145	196	130	161	168	140	185	187	120	137	159
mum		98	66	100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129

Table C.1: Results of this research (cont).

B. Hut

58										•															33 S		Ap	pei	ndi	х (	C	RI	ESU	LTS
[Fe/H]	dex	1.12074759338	0.933931999094	0.876906814816	1.08719297145	0.947096616386	1.34824278771	1.2579295554	0.87178873155	-0.883580081167	0.781221636811	-0.370461937186	0.989987579578	0.837083343133	1.1362706483	1.00974954798	1.09453141775	1.23813140516	0.742345079988	0.7755113372	1.01903852887	1.14757453354	0.894558609793	1.05636158241	-0.071939201801	0.882966119765	0.99377419615	0.785664166448	0.959891338366	1.52562319905	-0.265961699374	0.856251388771	0.835499847868	
$V_{\rm PS}$	mag	14.0536363648	13.538031587	13.2935749711	13.8604970105	13.0673096726	15.2336396661	14.8640158282	13.760573542	11.1015365084	12.6440754631	11.4811294284	14.0942320302	12.8630075069	13.6875018671	13.5299734716	15.0477325882	14.393594653	12.5514594306	12.9095503278	13.7972929284	14.9874788983	13.3100849317	13.7679831716	11.4465921531	13.2866934189	13.3727758217	13.0130504751	13.84516589	15.102142411	11.5580045676	13.2311995792	13.6627691608	
$B_{ m PS}$	mag	13.7534152596	13.2302326391	12.9901072725	13.5700497313	12.7777519401	14.9825725815	14.549883652	13.416085478	10.9601941549	12.3246798339	11.2472341903	13.7611227037	12.5813141731	13.4014040449	13.2212478394	14.7011620152	14.1504811595	12.2821337811	12.622121381	13.4891346988	14.6437901074	13.0116758999	13.4795620471	11.3090593273	13.0325846722	13.0908609375	12.7292630247	13.5216854772	14.8718652262	11.3603338083	12.9325777878	13.3310930006	
SNR		47.7592338505	44.7582052399	46.3639709118	43.167456897	44.0459425404	45.4789170177	49.1121425594	47.7611989129	105.652086344	46.5261013965	85.557811734	50.7549650884	45.9784352828	45.2987250749	44.8938703847	-153.124015548	49.1072728015	47.1044059249	47.3883329389	45.5544886843	50.1694292065	48.2357310796	46.1813198772	118.747153464	52.3048551627	45.8672416402	49.1608057475	50.9175248023	41.6521640622	80.4432123221	48.6371958162	50.2666254143	earch (cont).
$EW_3$	nm	3.5049249754	3.45837321182	3.47122666751	3.52695635006	3.62259074556	3.37537168002	3.40271776087	3.32161401175	2.13653766287	3.57484328383	2.61238724562	3.34741778136	3.56066296313	3.63868736461	3.54853982888	3.16067796408	3.51908100053	3.55027999879	3.47591232058	3.47242164295	3.24100206167	3.48517177248	3.52107268417	2.95358752959	3.47122121788	3.57588636441	3.45337748133	3.3917318743	3.617648564	2.7025474029	3.46671660243	3.30908493066	Results of this rese
$EW_2$	nm	3.41026500882	3.36222293409	3.376219021	3.43558231653	3.52918701029	3.30165072394	3.30560655319	3.21532058397	2.11270606004	3.4708157762	2.56009460844	3.24460912745	3.47183801231	3.5461083684	3.44952612195	3.05870821984	3.44531706966	3.46629751107	3.38686487234	3.37567117579	3.13749901964	3.39182525714	3.43060350055	2.9194589429	3.39439981166	3.48647846457	3.36623422929	3.29129681601	3.54666938174	2.65823490255	3.37370518681	3.20796973337	Table C.1:
$EW_1$	nm	3.437129	3.395995	3.402034	3.467285	3.551545	3.294197	3.338147	3.275101	3.932118	3.574578	3.828127	3.312086	3.461102	3.573603	3.483829	3.103703	3.412489	3.458418	3.347228	3.402422	3.218655	3.405082	3.426797	3.331984	3.391373	3.462887	3.377613	3.337199	3.518978	2.938063	3.409794	3.268794	
γ	nm	851.071073393	851.063107167	851.06953735	851.069006952	851.066640835	851.068271556	851.069571372	851.071330924	851.07171712	851.068995143	851.072266167	851.069554873	851.069059071	851.066156402	851.070279952	851.061122335	851.070189377	851.068381255	851.069146065	851.062839196	851.070853423	851.066431893	851.066941766	851.07026437	851.06930943	851.070827857	851.068004255	851.06649138	851.074061899	851.07074037	851.067106492	851.068563974	
у	хd	160	161	162	163	163	164	164	165	165	166	167	168	169	169	171	172	172	173	173	173	173	174	174	174	175	175	177	178	180	180	181	182	
х	хd	268	192	175	103	142	27	298	33	118	160	157	240	83	165	120	276	280	141	181	190	272	103	195	227	115	143	122	237	69	181	124	207	
num		130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	

B. Hut

60			I																							A	٩p	ben	diz	сC	!.	Results
	[Fe/H]	dex	1.11112843062	0.827297036424	1.09224570369	1.19883141144	1.26707118695	0.61515008624	1.14785707864	1.41907867387	1.46747247011	1.22981508368	0.86950855678	1.8339083256	1.02426509222	1.45281181074	1.19965704193	1.14172053956	2.59490954263	1.15882176494	1.4691665798	1.36206201291	1.06750755898	1.36939287524	0.972350017662	0.286172442072	1.00740722123	1.95035889441	1.58754190969	1.58410625413	-0.467432753552	_
	$V_{ m PS}$	mag	14.3583916582	11.7606462931	13.8724579288	14.5164003365	14.0077961796	11.7455885939	14.3381826484	14.6749046268	15.4141054648	14.8566887106	14.1990711916	15.167220441	13.9411336994	15.0780168394	14.8982699916	14.7546542771	18.5120368409	13.182935497	15.4949857994	13.3835068013	13.9657644031	14.7215253433	13.0670123511	10.7836973841	14.7549970065	16.6599105421	16.1831428507	15.6424763887	11.6354284322	
	$B_{ m PS}$	mag	14.0455218793	11.5547559262	13.5624125778	14.2060195105	13.681218506	11.6024058162	14.0346310536	14.364887266	15.1274935092	14.542056257	13.8763306121	15.0076161949	13.6267266359	14.7939745592	14.5764758481	14.4049582146	18.1451990355	12.9339937631	15.1983458895	13.1400893756	13.7062434412	14.4044321795	12.9051186402	10.5747420515	14.4439638176	16.4469470454	15.7989883992	15.357507646	11.4345196276	_
	SNR		47.4782565468	41.6437684624	48.4490951818	47.8012672359	42.0224665764	43.6639291716	50.7274150635	45.6793394557	48.5962470326	52.7920154255	52.1890618442	39.7408863038	50.6885928654	44.7477118707	52.6409568728	48.8724653242	-44.871267552	35.8174551124	49.3932533433	30.4339755412	53.0328112734	46.476633474	44.2089282446	97.5631396311	51.8197760324	27.8806413415	-105.966299895	44.6048095537	81.8698558793	earch (cont).
	$EW_3$	nm	3.39740553488	3.89128061272	3.53275126033	3.44669298418	3.69372841389	3.64221742986	3.44452885982	3.64895396285	3.46044639615	3.37282669313	3.17244027841	3.93681297385	3.43299957424	3.55196418797	3.32593194161	3.31115258344	3.77146980228	3.82035418334	3.43803553614	3.98760435589	3.46447900287	3.57802600836	3.62829929328	3.58789370648	3.14882565137	3.59838862849	3.36830230093	3.52028456216	2.44711262326	Results of this rese
	$EW_2$	nm	3.30087932803	3.82448993337	3.4336159009	3.349791281	3.5833529106	3.60103788421	3.35016396938	3.54664951662	3.37205444755	3.27629044954	3.0786736062	3.88650304754	3.33491370407	3.4623136956	3.22803217725	3.2030517237	3.6407119437	3.73861656434	3.34655214272	3.9049104115	3.38594895866	3.47481794291	3.58106284218	3.52329551786	3.05990176725	3.53426611184	3.24489970574	3.4311356721	2.40622964933	Table C.1:
	$EW_1$	nm	3.320156	3.652131	3.478055	3.371318	3.664841	3.490186	3.37419	3.614062	3.39311	3.308077	3.120768	4.252644	3.384248	3.491396	3.267109	3.281548	3.401342	3.714863	3.352124	3.864301	3.37808	3.534326	3.461168	3.740228	3.107164	3.4482	3.433297	3.430277	2.74085	-
	γ	nm	851.066537561	851.069375885	851.071461696	851.070355593	851.074888289	851.066372084	851.068000254	851.070647438	851.064351828	851.070528801	851.079020906	851.061987565	851.06768833	851.067782527	851.066366675	851.066445725	851.094312376	851.064652508	851.072437564	851.065154193	851.070445486	851.069541585	851.069536842	851.069881536	851.063634553	851.065744967	851.079009924	851.074935617	851.072553419	-
	y	рх	226	229	233	236	237	239	242	244	246	251	253	254	257	258	258	261	261	262	268	268	268	269	270	280	284	290	292	295	300	-
	×	рх	214	173	168	213	113	109	57	113	280	24	246	79	197	163	292	172	221	133	29	139	200	179	267	298	231	12	104	112	280	-
	mun		194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204	205	206	207	208	209	210	211	212	213	214	215	216	217	218	219	220	221	222	

## Appendix D

## PYTHON SCRIPT

In order to provide the possibility to do this research once more, the python code is provided here. It should be executed from within GIPSY:

<user> ko.py</user>		24/02/12	
<pre><user> KO.PY SET=muse_reproj_data <user> KO.PY SPECTRA_OUTPUT=y SPECTRA_OUTPUT = y <user> KO.PY MINX=620 <user> KO.PY MAXX=910 MINX = 620 MAXX = 910 <user> KO.PY SNRMINX=820 <user> KO.PY SNRMINX=845 SNRMINX = 620</user></user></user></user></user></user></pre>	(start ko)	24/02/12	08:30:38
SNRMAXX = 910 <user> KO.PY TRIPLET_MU1= <user> KO.PY TRIPLET_MU2= <user> KO.PY TRIPLET_LD1= <user> KO.PY TRIPLET_LD2= <user> KO.PY TRIPLET_LD3= TRIPLET_MU1 = 849.8 TRIPLET_MU2 = 854.2 TRIPLET_LD1 = 3 TRIPLET_LD2 = 5 TRIPLET_LD2 = 5 TRIPLET_LD3 = 4 <user> KO.PY CLIPPING_LEV= CLIPPING_LEV = 3.33522094727 <user> KO.PY SHOW_SIGNAL=n SHOW_SIGNAL = n number of stars: 222</user></user></user></user></user></user></user>			
– KO.PY RUNNING – –			$\begin{smallmatrix}10:28\\1\end{smallmatrix}$

#### D.1 ko.py

```
\#!/usr/bin/env python
     # -*- coding: utf-8 -*-
  2
     #
 3
     #
 4
     # program by B. Hut
  5
 6
     #
     # class Result
 7
     \overset{''}{\#} def tripletfunction
     # def residuals
# def tripletfit
 9
10
     # by M.G.R. Vogelaar, edited by B. Hut
11
     #
12
     \overset{''}{\#} copyright (c) by B. Hut, February 2012
13
     # for the University of Groningen / Kapteyn Astronomical Insitute
# as part of the Bachelor Research Project (KO) of Astronomy
14
15
16
17
     # import modules
    # gipsy for gipsy's functionality
from gipsy import *
# matplotlib for plotting
18
19
20
     from matplotlib import pyplot as pyplot
21
    # matplotlib: font in plots as in thesis
from matplotlib import rc
rc('text', usetex=True)
# numpy for numerical functions
22
23
24
25
25 # numpy for numerical functions
26 from numpy import array, where, abs, median, std, delete, exp, sqrt, ones, dot, mean,
27 # sys for filename in plots
28 from sys import argv
29 # copy for copying arrays
30 import copy
# datatime for unique output files
     # datetime for unique output files
31
     import datetime
32
     # scipy.optimize for fitting
33
    # scrpg.optimize jor jotting
from scipy.optimize import leastsq
# pyfits for writing to .fits
import pyfits
# time for monitoring progress
34
35
36
37
     import time
38
     c0 = time.clock() #set clock to zero
39
40
     \# definition of functions
41
42
     def spec_plot(x, y1, y2, y3, y4):
43
44
            #
            #
45
46
             \# INPUT:
            # INFOID
# x = \operatorname{array} along x axis of plot, wavelength
# <math>y1 = \operatorname{array} of summed spectrum of a star (including central flux)
# <math>y2 = \operatorname{array} of central flux of a star (if inner spaxels do not contain triplet)
# <math>y3 = \operatorname{array} of triplet and continuum fit
47
48
49
50
                y_4 = array of continuum fit
             #
51
52
            # OUTPUT:
53
            # Plots the summed flux pyplot.figure(1)
54
55
             ax = pyplot.subplot('111')
56
            57
5^{8}
59
6 c
61
                    )
            )

pyplot.plot(x, y3, 'b.-', label = r'\normalfont Triplet and continuum fit')

pyplot.plot(x, y4, 'r.-', label = r'\normalfont Continuum fit')

pyplot.grid(True)

pyplot.slabel(r'\normalfont Wavelength $\lambda$ (%s)' % (zunit))

pyplot.ylabel(r'\normalfont Intensity (a.u.)')

pyplot.legend(loc=0, ncol=1, numpoints = 1, fancybox=True).get_frame().set_alpha

(0.7)
62
63
64
65
66
67
                     (0.7)
             pyplot.show()
68
69
     def find_stars(image):
79
71
             #
             #
72
             #
73
             # INPUT #
74
```

 $Bachelor \ Research \ Project: \ ``Resolved \ Stars \ in \ a \ large \ IFU \ Data \ Cube \ with \ GIPSY"$ 

```
# image
 75
                                 =
           #
 76
           # OUTPUT
 77
           #
             coordinates =
 78
           \# clipped_data =
 79
 80
           ymin = i.min()
 81
          ymax = i . max()
 82
 83
          clipping_level = userint("CLIPPING_LEV=", "Clipping level (range scaled signal = [%s
, %s]) [%s]" % (ymin, ymax, clipping_level), defval=clipping_level, default=1)
cancel("CLIPPING_LEV=")
           clipping_level = 0.02 * ymax
 84
 85
 86
           anyout(str('CLIPPING_LEV = %s' % (clipping_level)))
 87
 88
           show\_signal = 'n'
 89
           show_signal = usertext("SHOW_SIGNAL=", "Show signal and detected stars? y/[n]",
 90
                defval=show_signal, default=1)
           cancel ("SHOW_SIGNAL=")
 91
           anyout (str ('SHOW_SIGNAL = %s' % (show_signal)))
92
 93
           coordinates = []
 94
           clipped_data = []
 95
 96
           \# \ for \ every \ row \ in \ the \ image: \ search \ for \ stars , \ using \ derivatives
97
           rownr = 0
98
           for row in image:
99
               clipped_row = []
100
               coordinates_x_row = []
101
102
               columnnr = 0
103
               while columnnr < len(row) - 1:
104
                    star = 0
105
                    if row[columnnr] - row[columnnr+1] > 0 and row[columnnr-1] - row[columnnr] < 0
106
                          0:
                        column = image[:,columnr] # corresponding column
if rownr == len(column)-1:
    if column[rownr-1] - column[rownr] < 0:</pre>
107
108
109
                                # a star detected
110
                                 star = 1
111
112
                        else:
                             i\, f \ column \, [\, rownr \, ] \ - \ column \, [\, rownr \, +1] \ > \ 0 \ \text{and} \ column \, [\, rownr \, -1] \ - \ column \, [\,
113
                                 114
115
                    if star == 1 and row[columnnr] < clipping_level:
116
                        # false detection due to clipping level
117
                        star = 0
118
                    clipped_row.append(star)
if star == 1:
119
120
                        coordinates.append(array([columnnr, rownr]))
121
                        coordinates_x_row.append(columnnr) # for plotting
122
123
                    columnnr = columnnr + 1
124
125
               # Plotting signut
if show_signal == 'y':
                 Plotting signal
126
127
                    global sf
128
                    pyplot.figure(1)
129
                    pyplot.suptitle (r'\normalfont Intensity values for a row in \verb?%s?'% (s.
130
                         spec))
                    xs = row
131
                   ax = pyplot.subplot('111')
132
                    \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ pyplot.annotate(r'\normalfont\small\verb?\%s?'\%(str(argv[0])), \\ \end{array} \\ xy=(1.01,\ 0.98), \ rotation=90, \ xycoords='axes \ fraction', \ ha=' \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} 
133
134
                    \mathrm{xi} \; = \; \mathrm{arange} \left( 0 \; , \; \; \mathrm{len} \left( \; \mathrm{xs} \; \right) \; \right)
135
136
                   xmin = min(xi)
                   xmax = max(xi)
137
                    pyplot.plot(xi, xs/sf ,'k')
138
                    for x in coordinates_x_row:
139
                        140
                   141
                   pyplot.xlim(xmin, xmax)
pyplot.ylim(ymin/sf, ymax/sf)
142
143
                   pyplot.grid(True)
pyplot.xlabel(r'\normalfont\small x (px)')
pyplot.ylabel(r'\normalfont\small Intensity')
144
145
146
```

```
pyplot.legend(loc=0, ncol=1, numpoints = 1, fancybox=True).get_frame().
147
                            set_alpha(0.7)
                     pyplot.show()
148
149
                rownr = rownr + 1
150
                clipped_data.append(clipped_row)
151
152
            return coordinates, clipped_data
153
154
     def linear_regression ( xa, ya ):
155
156
            #
#
157
            #
158
            ‴
⋕ INPUT
159
            \# xa =
160
            \# ya
161
                      =
162
            #
            ..
# OUTPUT
163
            # a
164
                      =
            # b
                      =
165
166
            \# siga =
            \# sigb =
167
           {\# R} =
""" Function assumes all weights are equal and
168
169
           assumes errors in x much smaller than in y'
sumX = sumY = sumXX = sumXY = sumYY = 0.0
                                                                                     L
......
170
171
172
           N = len(xa)
173
           for i in range(N):
    x = xa[i]; y = ya[i]
    sumX += x; sumY += y
    sumXX += x * x; sumYY += y * y
174
175
176
177
                sumXY += x * y
178
179
180
            sum = N
           delta = sum * sumXX - sumX * sumX
a = (sumXX*sumY - sumX*sumXY) / delta
b = (sumXY*sum - sumX*sumY) / delta
181
182
183
184
            """ Now calculate an estimate for sigma that is equal to all data. Use the assumption that the reduced Chi-Square is 1 and
185
186
                  that sigma^2 is the experimental estimate of the variance in y"""
187
188
            degfree = N - 2
189
            var = (sumYY + a*a*sum + b*b*sumXX - 2.0*(a*sumY + b*sumXY - a*b*sumX)) / degfree
190
191
            siga = sqrt(abs(var*sumXX/delta))
192
            sigb = sqrt(abs(var*sum/delta))
193
194
           R = (sum*sumXY - sumX*sumY) / sqrt(delta*(sum*sumYY-sumY*sumY))
195
196
            return( [a, b, siga, sigb, R] )
197
198
199
     class Result(object)
200
           def __init__(self):
201
                  self.params = None
202
203
     def tripletfunction (x, ie):
204
           # Given the needed parameters, this function returns the value for intensity = continuum(x) + triplet(x)
205
            \# This function calculates the intensity value for given wavelength x and given
206
           functional parameters.
# The function is a combination of a linear offset (continuum) and 3 gaussian
207
                  functions (triplet lines)
208
            #
           # INPUT
209
                          = wavelength positions of the spectrum \\ = initial estimates
           # x
# ie
210
211
                         = initial estimates
= amplitude of first gaussian function
= mean value of first gaussian function
= standard deviation of first gaussian function
= amplitude of second gaussian function
= standard deviation of second gaussian function
= amplitude of third gaussian function
= standard deviation of third gaussian function
= standard deviation of third gaussian function
            "
# ie[0]
212
            #
               ie [1]
213
           \# ie[2] \\ \# ie[3] \\ \# ie[3]
214
215
           "# ie[4]
# ie[5]
# ie[6]
216
217
218
           \# ie[0] \\ \# ie[7] \\ \# ie[8]
219
            \# ie [8] = standard deviation of third gaussian function
\# ie [9] = slope of linear function
\# ie [10] = intersection of linear function with y axis
220
221
222
```

```
\# mind the global variables ld1, ld2, ld3, which define the line depth ratio
223
             #
224
             # OUTPUT
225
226
             # intensity = value of the summation of the four functions
227
             global ld1
228
             global 1d2
229
             global 1d3
230
231
            232
233
                                       sigma1 = ie[2];
                                       sigma2 = ie |4|;
234
            mu3 = ie [5];
                                       sigma3 = ie [6];
235
             a = ie [7];
                                     b = ie[8];
236
237
            238
239
240
241
             intensity = gaussian1 + gaussian2 + gaussian3 + linear
242
243
             return(intensity)
244
245
     def tripletfunction_error(x, ie, std):
    # met onder andere, waarom err_sigma1 = 0.06? (= resolution element)
    # en ook assumed no error in lambda0
246
247
248
             #
249
             ⋕ INPUT
250
                            \begin{array}{l} = \ wavelength \ positions \ of \ the \ spectrum \\ = \ initial \ estimates \ as \ in \ def \ tripletfunction \end{array}
             # x
251
            "
# ie
# std
252
                             =
253
             #
254
             \# mind the global variables ld1, ld2, ld3, which define the line depth ratio
255
256
257
             # OUTPUT
             \# intensity_error = error in the intensity as an array
258
259
             global ld1
260
             global 1d2
261
             global 1d3
262
263
             A = ie[0]
264
                                       sigma1 = abs(ie[2]); #negative sigma not possible
sigma2 = abs(ie[4]); #negative sigma not possible
sigma3 = abs(ie[6]); #negative sigma not possible
            mu1 = ie[1];

mu2 = ie[3];
265
266
             mu3 = ie[5];
267
             a = ie [7];
                                     b = ie[8];
268
269
             \begin{array}{l} {\rm dIdA} &= {\rm ld1} * \exp(-({\rm x-mu1})*({\rm x-mu1})/(2.0*{\rm sigma1*sigma1}))/({\rm sqrt}(2*{\rm pi})*{\rm sigma1}) + {\rm ld2} * \\ &\exp(-({\rm x-mu2})*({\rm x-mu2})/(2.0*{\rm sigma2*sigma2}))/({\rm sqrt}(2*{\rm pi})*{\rm sigma2}) + {\rm ld3} * \exp(-({\rm x-mu3})*({\rm x-mu3})/(2.0*{\rm sigma3*sigma3}))/({\rm sqrt}(2*{\rm pi})*{\rm sigma3}) \\ &{\rm dIdsigma1} = {\rm ld1} * {\rm A} * ({\rm sigma1**2.-2*({\rm x-mu1})**2.})/(2.*{\rm sqrt}(2.*{\rm pi})*{\rm sigma1**(7./2.})) * \\ \end{array} 
270
271
              \begin{array}{l} \exp(-(x-mu1)*(x-mu1)/(2.0*sigma1*sigma1))/(sqrt(2.*sigma1)) \\ dIdsigma2 = ld2 * A * (sigma2**2.-2*(x-mu2)**2.)/(2.*sqrt(2.*pi)*sigma2**(7./2.)) * \end{array} 
272
             \begin{array}{l} \exp\left(-(x-mu2)*(x-mu2)/(2.0*sigma2*sigma1)\right)/(sqrt(2.*pi)*sigma2)\\ dIdsigma3 = ld3 * A * (sigma3**2.-2*(x-mu3)**2.)/(2.*sqrt(2.*pi)*sigma3**(7./2.)) * \\ \exp\left(-(x-mu3)*(x-mu3)/(2.0*sigma3*sigma3)\right)/(sqrt(2.*pi)*sigma3) \end{array}
273
274
             err_A = std * ones(len(x))
275
             err\_sigma1 = 0.06*ones(len(x))

err\_sigma2 = 0.06*ones(len(x))
276
277
             \operatorname{err}_{\operatorname{sigma3}} = 0.06 * \operatorname{ones}(\operatorname{len}(\mathbf{x}))
278
279
             err_intensity = sqrt(abs((dIdA*err_A)**2. + (dIdsigma1*err_sigma1)**2. + (dIdsigma2*
err_sigma2)**2. + (dIdsigma2*err_sigma2)**2.))
280
281
             return err_intensity
282
283
      def linefunction(x, ie):
284
285
             #
             #
286
             #
287
            # INPUT
288
289
             \# x
                                  = initial estimates
290
             # ie
291
             #
             ...
# OUTPUT
292
             \# intensity =
293
             \ddot{A} = ie[0];
294
            mu = ie [1]
295
             sigma = ie [2];
296
```

```
a = ie[3];

b = ie[4];
298
          299
300
          intensity = linear + gaussian
301
302
          return(intensity)
303
304
     def continuumfunction(x, ie):
305
306
307
          #
          #
308
          ..
# INPUT
300
          # x
310
          # ie
                          = initial estimates
311
          #
312
          # OUTPUT
313
          \# intensity =
314
          a = ie[0];
315
          b = ie[1];
316
          intensity = x*(ones(len(x))*a) + b
317
318
          return(intensity)
319
320
    def residuals(p, y, x):
    # Calculates the difference between the data and the fitted function
321
322
          #
323
          #
324
          ...
# INPUT
325
          \# p = parameters that are input for tripletfunction. So the initial estimates.
\# y = array that contains the data
\# x = array that contains the x values, which is passed on to tripletfunction
326
327
328
          #
329
          ...
# OUTPUT
330
          \# y - triplet function(x,p) = array that contains the difference
331
          return y - tripletfunction(x, p)
332
333
    def tripletfit(x, y, initialestimates):
# This function fits the function to the data.
334
335
          #
336
          \# The formal 1-sigma errors in each parameter, computed from the covariance matrix.
# If a parameter is held fixed, or if it touches a boundary, then the error is
337
338
                reported as zero.
339
          #
          #
            If the fit is unweighted (i.e. no errors were given, or the weights were uniformly
340
                 set to unity),
          #
              then .perror will probably not represent the true parameter uncertainties.
341
          #
342
              *\,If* you can assume that the true reduced chi-squared value is unity — meaning that the fit is implicitly assumed to be of good quality — then the estimated parameter uncertainties can be computed by scaling .perror by
343
          #
#
344
          #
345
                 the measured chi-squared value.
          #
346
                           dof = len(x) - len(result.params) \ \# \ deg \ of \ freedom
          #
347
                          # scaled uncertainties
pcerror = result.perror * numpy.sqrt(result.fnorm / dof)
          ::
#
#
^{348}
349
          #
350
          #
            Usage of result:
351
          #
             result = tripletfit(x, y, p0)
352
             if result.params == None:
    print 'error message = ', result.errmsg
          #
353
          #
354
             else:
          #
355
                 print "Iterations: ", result.niter
print "Fitted pars: ", result.params
print "Uncertainties assuming chi-sqr = 1.0: ", result.pcerror
          #
356
          #
357
          #
358
          #
359
          #
# INPUT xi xs p0
360
361
          # x
                                    = wavelength positions of the spectrum
362
             y = flux of the spectrum (intensities)
initial estimates = initial estimates for the constants in the to be fitted
          #
363
             y
          #
364
               function
                                       see documentation of function 'tripletfunction'
365
          #
366
          #
          # OUTPUT
367
368
          \# see 'Usage of result', above
369
          \texttt{par, cov_x, infodict, mesg, ier} = \texttt{leastsq}(\texttt{residuals, initialestimates, args}{=}(\texttt{y, x}),
370
                full_output=1)
          result = Result()
371
```

66

```
if ier < 1 or ier > 4:
372
                   result.params = None
373
                  return result
374
            chi = infodict['fvec']**2
if not cov_x is None: #try:
    result.fnorm = chi.sum()
375
376
377
                   result.params = par
result.perror = sqrt(cov_x.diagonal())
378
379
                   result.errmsg = mesg
380
                  result.errmsg = mesg
result.niter = infodict['nfev']
dof = len(x) - len(result.params) # deg of freedom
# Uncertainties for unweighted data
result.pcerror = result.perror * sqrt(result.fnorm/dof)
381
382
383
384
            else: #except:
385
                  result.params = None
386
387
388
            return result
389
     def find_triplet(flux, xi, mu1, d2, d3, ld1, ld2, ld3):
390
            # Selects the position of the triplet lines
# This function looks for the triplet somewhere in the spectrum (flux & xi) after
391
392
                   lambda = mu1.
            # The triplet is characterized by d2, d3, ld1, ld2, ld3.
393
            #
# INPUT
394
395
            # flux = intensities of the spectrum
396
                      = wavelength positions of the spectrum
            #
               x i
397
            \# mu1 = lower bound of position in wavelength of first line
398
            # d2 = difference in wavelength between first and second line in nm
# d3 = difference in wavelength between first and third line in nm
# d3 = line depth ratio (first line). ld1 : ld2 : ld3
# ld2 = line depth ratio (second line)
# ld3 = line depth ratio (third line)
#
399
400
401
402
403
            #
404
            \# OUTPUT
405
             \begin{array}{l} \overset{`''}{\#} triplet_I = intensities \ of \ the \ triplet \\ \# \ triplet_x = wavelengths \ of \ the \ triplet \\ \end{array} 
406
407
408
            xi = array(xi)
409
            flux = array(flux)
410
411
            tol = 0.5
412
413
            num_std = 2
414
            \# get spectrum for wavelengths > mu1 for redshifted triplet (redshift ---> mu1 is
415
                 under bound)
            x_r = copy.copy(xi)
416
            f_{-r} = copy.copy(flux)

x_{-r} = array(x_{-r} [where(x_{-r} > mul)])

f_{-r} = f_{-r} [len(f_{-r})-len(x_{-r}):len(f_{-r})]
417
418
419
420
            continuum = median(f_r)
421
            std = numpy.std(f_r)
422
423
            not_found_r = 0
424
425
            i = 0
            inc = x_r [1] - x_r [0]
426
            while True:
427
               mul.r = continuum - f_r[i]
mu2.r = continuum - f_r[i + d2/inc]
mu3.r = continuum - f_r[i + d3/inc]
if abs(mu1.r) > num_std*std: # test that the selected line is significant larger
than the standard deviation
428
429
430
431
                      if abs(mu1_r/ld1*ld2) > abs(mu2_r*(1-tol)) and abs(mu1_r/ld1*ld2) < abs(mu2_r abs(mu2_r))
432
                              (1+tol) and abs(mul_r/ld1*ld3) > abs(mu3_r*(1-tol)) and abs(mu1_r/ld1*ld3) > abs(mu3_r*(1-tol))
                             ld3) < abs(mu3_r*(1+tol)): # if triplet found: stop
                                                                                   \# first 2 conditions: first line related
433
                                                                                          to second line
                                                                                    # second 2 conditions: first line related
434
                                                                                            to third line
                             break
435
                i = i + 1
436
               437
438
439
                      break
440
441
             \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{triplet_redshifted_I} = \mbox{array} \left( \left[ \mbox{mu1}_r, \mbox{mu2}_r, \mbox{mu3}_r \right] \right) \\ \mbox{triplet_redshifted}_x = \mbox{array} \left( \left[ \mbox{x}_r \left[ i \right], \mbox{x}_r \left[ i + \mbox{d} 2 / \mbox{inc} \right] \right] \right) \end{array} 
442
443
444
```

```
\# get spectrum for wavelengths > mu1 for blueshifted triplet (blueshift ---> mu1 is
445
                            upper bound)
                   x_b = copy.copy(xi)
446
                   f_b = copy.copy(flux)
447
                   x_b = array(x_b[where(x_b < (mu1 + d3))]) # account for the width of the whole whole whole where (x_b < (mu1 + d3))] = 0.5 \pm 0.5 \pm
448
                            triplet
                   f_{b} = f_{b} [0: len(x_{b})]
449
450
                   continuum = median(f_b)
451
                   std = numpy.std(f_b)
452
453
                   not_found_b = 0
454
                   i = len(f_b) - 1 - d3/inc
455
                   while True:
456
                        mu1\_b = continuum - f\_b[i]
457
                        multiple continuum fib[r]
multiple continuum - f.b[i + d2/inc]
multiple continuum - f.b[i + d3/inc]
if abs(multiple) > num_std*std: # test that the selected line is significant larger
than the standard deviation
45^{8}
459
460
                                   if abs(mu1_b/ld1*ld2) > abs(mu2_b*(1-to1)) and abs(mu1_b/ld1*ld2) < abs(mu2_b*(1-to1))
461
                                             (1+tol) and abs(mul.b/ldl*ld3) > abs(mul.b/ldl*ld1) and abs(mul.b/ldl*ld3) < abs(mul.b/ldl*ld3) < abs(mul.b/ldl*ld3)
                                                                                                                                 \# first 2 conditions: first line related
462
                                                                                                                                           to second line
                                                                                                                                 # second 2 conditions: first line related
463
                                                                                                                                              to third line
                                            break
464
465
                         i = i - 1
466
                         if i < 0:
                                  mu1_b = 0; mu2_b = 0; mu3_b = 0;
467
                                   not_found_b = 1
468
                                  break
469
470
                    \begin{array}{l} \mbox{triplet_blueshifted_I} = \mbox{array} \left( [\mbox{mu1_b}, \mbox{mu2_b}, \mbox{mu3_b}] \right) \\ \mbox{triplet_blueshifted_x} = \mbox{array} \left( [\mbox{x_b}[\mbox{i}], \mbox{x_b}[\mbox{i} + \mbox{d2/inc}], \mbox{x_b}[\mbox{i} + \mbox{d3/inc}]] \right) \end{array} 
47
472
473
                   474
475
                              triplet_x = triplet_redshifted_x
476
                    elif not_found_b == 1:
477
                             {\tt triplet_I} = {\tt triplet_redshifted_I}
478
                             triplet_x = triplet_redshifted_x
479
                   elif not_found_r == 1:
480
                             triplet_I = triplet_blueshifted_I
481
                              triplet_x = triplet_blueshifted_x
482
                    elif not_found_b == 1 and not_found_r == 1:
483
                             return False
484
                   else:
485
                             triplet_I = triplet_blueshifted_I
triplet_x = triplet_blueshifted_x
486
487
488
                   return triplet_I , triplet_x
489
490
        def snr_estimation_by_region(flux, triplet, x, xmax, xmin):
    # Estimates signal-to-noise ratio on a specified region
    # This function estimates the SNR on a user specified region, using the median of
491
492
493
                              the fitted function as
                              signal and the standard deviation of the flux as noise.
                   #
494
                  #
                       The minimal and maximum values for wavelength given by the user, define the region
495
                               for SNR estimation
496
                   #
                   ..
# INPUT
497
                                                 = intensity data of the spectrum
                   # flux
498
                                                 = fitted triplet AND continuum (--> signal)
                   #
                         triplet
499
                   ;;
# x
                                                 = wavelength positions
500
                   # xmax
                                                = wavelength max for SNR estimation
501
                   \# xmin
                                                = wavelength min for SNR estimation
502
                   #
503
                   "
# OUTPUT
504
                  \# snr = calculated value for the SNR
\# x = used wavelength positions for SNR estimation
505
506
507
508
                   flux = array(flux)
509
                   x_snr = copy.copy(x)
510
                   triplet_snr = copy.copy(triplet)
511
512
                   \# select boundaries of specified region
513
                   indexmin = 0
514
                   indexmax = len(x)
515
```

```
while True:
516
              if x_snr[0] > xmin:
517
                  \mathbf{break}
518
              del x_snr[0]
519
              indexmin = indexmin+1
520
         while True:
521
              if x\_snr[-1] < xmax:
522
                  break
523
              del x_snr[-1]
524
              indexmax = indexmax - 1
525
526
         x_snr = x[indexmin:indexmax] # only select specified region
flux = flux[indexmin:indexmax] # only select specified region
triplet_snr = triplet_snr[indexmin:indexmax] # only select specified region
527
528
529
         signal = numpy.median(triplet_snr)
530
         std = numpy.std(flux)
531
532
         snr = signal / std
533
         return snr, x_snr
534
535
    def equivalent_widths(xi, ie, std_res = 0):
536
         #
537
         #
538
         "# INPUT
# xi
539
540
                     =
         #
           ie
541
                     =
           std_res =
         #
542
         #
543
         ...
# OUTPUT
544
         # EW1
# EW2
                     =
545
                     =
546
         ...
# EW3
                     =
547
         \# err_EW1 =
548
         \# err_EW2 =
549
         # err_EW3 =
550
551
         552
                                                                          , ie
, ie
553
554
555
556
         integral1 = 1 - line1/continuum
557
         integral2 = 1 - \text{line2/continuum}
integral3 = 1 - \text{line3/continuum}
558
559
560
         EW1 = sum(integral1)
561
        EW2 = sum(integral2)
562
        EW3 = sum(integral3)
563
564
        565
566
567
568
569
570
574
         integral1_min = 1 - line1_min/continuum
572
         integral1\_max = 1 - line1\_max/continuum
573
         integral2_min = 1 - line2_min/continuum
integral3_min = 1 - line2_max/continuum
574
575
576
         integral3_max = 1 - line3_max/continuum
577
578
         err_EW1min = abs(sum(integral1_min) - EW1)
579
         err_EW1max = abs(sum(integral1_max) - EW1)
580
         err_EW2min = abs(sum(integral2_min) - EW2)
581
         err_EW2max = abs(sum(integral2_max) - EW2)
err_EW3min = abs(sum(integral3_min) - EW3)
582
583
         err_EW3max = abs(sum(integral3_max) - EW3)
584
585
         err_EW1 = (err_EW1max + err_EW1min)/2.
586
         err_EW2 = (err_EW2max + err_EW2min)/2.
587
         \operatorname{err}_{EW3} = (\operatorname{err}_{EW3\max} + \operatorname{err}_{EW3\min})/2.
588
589
         return EW1, EW2, EW3, err_EW1, err_EW2, err_EW3
590
591
    def export_csv(data):
592
         #
593
         #
594
         ..
# INPUT
595
```

```
# data
596
                      =
         #
597
         # OUTPUT
598
         \# str(filename) =
599
         filename = 'muse_ko_'+str(datetime.datetime.now().isoformat())+'.csv'
f = open(filename, "w")
for value in data:
600
601
602
               f.write(str(value)+',')
603
         f.close()
604
         return str(filename)
605
606
    def export_spec_csv(legend, datacube, ID):
607
608
         #
         #
609
         # INPUT
610
         \# data
611
                      =
612
         #
         "
# OUTPUT
613
         \# str(filename) =
614
         filename = 'muse_spec_'+str(ID)+'.csv'
f = open(filename, "w")
615
616
         f.write('# ')
for column in legend:
617
618
         f.write(str(column)+',')
f.write('\n')
619
620
621
         for i in arange(len(datacube[0])):
622
              for data in datacube:
    f. write(str(data[i])+',')
623
624
              f.write('\n')
625
         f.close()
626
627
         return str(filename)
628
629
630
    def x_to_RA(x):
         \# Calculates the corresponding celestiol long. of x pixel position, using header
631
               info
         #
632
         # INPUT
633
         \overset{''}{\#} x = x coordinate in pixels
634
         #
635
         \# mind the global variables crpixx, crvalx, cdeltx
636
637
         #
         ..
# OUTPUT
638
         \overset{''}{\#} RA = RA coordinate in units of header
639
         global crpixx
640
         global crvalx
641
642
         global cdeltx
         \widetilde{RA} = (x - crpixx) * cdeltx + crvalx
return RA
6_{43}
644
645
    def y_to_DEC(y):
646
         \# Calculates the corresponding celestiol lat. of y pixel position, using header info
647
         #
648
         \stackrel{\cdot\cdot}{\#} INPUT
649
         \# y = y coordinate in pixels
650
         #
651
         "
# OUTPUT
652
         \overset{''}{\#} DEC = DEC coordinate in units of header
653
         global crpixy
654
655
         global crvaly
         global cdelty
DEC = (y - crpixy) * cdelty + crvaly
return DEC
656
657
658
659
    {\tt def \ count\_to\_mag(count):}
660
661
         # Calculates instrumental magnitude out of number of photon count
         #
# INPUT
662
663
         \# counts = number of counts
664
         #
665
         # OUTPUT
666
         \# mag = corresponding ins
mag = -2.5 * np.log10(count)
                    =\ corresponding\ instrumental\ magnitude
667
668
         return mag
669
670
    def nm_to_angstrom (nm):
671
         # Calculates angstroms for a given number of nanometers
672
673
         #
         ..
# INPUT
674
```

```
= nanometers to be converted
        # nm
675
        #
676
        # OUTPUT
677
        \# angstrom = corresponding value in angstrom
678
        angstrom = nm * 10.
679
680
        return angstrom
681
   # initialize task communication with GIPSY's control process (Hermes)
682
    init()
683
684
   \# while input of new set
685
    while True:
686
        input = usertext("SET=", "Set, (subsets) [empty -> quit]", defval='', default=1)
if input=='': # to end while loop, using usertexts default
687
688
             break
689
690
        691
692
693
694
        i = s.image
                                  \# retrieve data
695
        sf = 1/max(i[0,0,:]) \# pick a scale factor
696
        \mathrm{i} \;=\; \mathrm{i} \; \stackrel{\cdot}{*} \; \mathrm{s}\,\mathrm{f}
                                  \# scale to make sure computer can handle all values
697
698
        # Retrieve header data
699
        axes_ctype = []
700
         axes_units =
701
        axes_naxis =
702
         axes_cdelt =
703
704
        axes_crval =
        axes_crpix = []
705
        for ax in s.axes():
706
             axes_ctype.append(ax.ctype)
707
             axes_units.append(ax.cunit)
708
709
             axes_cdelt.append(ax.cdelt)
             axes_crval.append(ax.crval)
710
             axes_crpix.append(ax.crpix)
711
        lo, hi = s.range(0)
712
        for n in range (s. naxis):
713
             axes_naxis.append(s.grid(n, hi)-s.grid(n, lo))
714
        xname = axes\_ctype[0]
715
716
        yname = axes_ctype[1]
        zname = axes_ctype [2]
717
        xunit = axes_units 0
718
        yunit = axes_units [1]
719
         zunit = axes_units 2
720
        naxisx = axes_naxis [0
721
        naxisy = axes_naxis[1
722
723
        naxisz = axes_naxis [2
        cdeltx = axes_cdelt[0]
724
        cdelty = axes_cdelt [1
725
        cdeltz = axes_cdelt
                                 2
726
         crvalx = axes_crval 0
727
        crvaly = axes_crval [1
728
729
        crvalz = axes_crval 2
        crpixx = axes_crpix [0
730
        crpixy = axes_crpix [1
731
        crpixz = axes_crpix [2]
732
733
        spectraloutput = n
spectraloutput = usertext("SPECTRALOUTPUT=", "Do you want to output summed spectra
as CSV? y/[n]", defval=spectraloutput, default=1)
cancel("SPECTRALOUTPUT=")
anvent(cat_('UTPCTD))
        spectra_output = 'n'
734
735
736
        anyout (str ('SPECTRA_OUTPUT = '+spectra_output))
737
738
         xi = ones(naxisz)+crvalz+array(range(0,naxisz))*cdeltz
739
        xi = xi.tolist()
740
741
        xmin = xi[0]
742
        xmax = xi[-1]
743
        xmin = userint ("MINX=", "Minimum %s coordinate [%d]" % (zname, xmin), defval=xmin,
744
             default=1)
        xmax = userint'("MAXX=", "Maximum %s coordinate [%d]" % (zname,xmax), defval=xmax,
745
        default=1)
cancel("MINX=")
cancel("MAXX=")
746
747
        anyout(str('MINX = %s' % (xmin)))
anyout(str('MAXX = %s' % (xmax)))
748
749
750
        # delete part that will not be used
751
```

indexmin = 0indexmax = len(xi) cinmin = indexmin cinmax = indexmax
while True: if xi[0] > xmin:break del xi[0] indexmin = indexmin+1while True: if xi[-1]<xmax: break **del** xi[-1] indexmax = indexmax - 1# select region of snr estimation  $\operatorname{snr}_{x} \min = \operatorname{xi} [0]$  $\operatorname{snr}_{x} \max = \operatorname{xi} [-1]$ snr\_xmin = userint ("SNRMINX=", "Minimum %s coordinate for SNR estimation [%d]" % ( zname, snr\_xmin), defval=snr\_xmin, default=1) snr\_xmax = userint("SNRMAXX=", "Maximum %s coordinate for SNR estimation [%d]" % ( zname,snr\_xmax), defval=snr\_xmax, default=1) cancel("SNRMINX=")
cancel("SNRMAXX=") anyout (str ('SNRMINX = %s' % (xmin))) anyout (str ('SNRMAXX = %s' % (xmax))) # user has to specify some characeristics of the triplet # default characeristics of NR CaT at rest mu1 = 849.8mu2 = 854.2mu3 = 866.2ld1 = 31d2 = 51d3 = 4 $\begin{array}{l} mu2), \ defval=mu2, \ defval=mu2, \ default=1) \\ mu3 = userint("TRIPLET_MU3=", "Rest position of third triplet line in nm [%d]" % ( \ defval=mu2, \ default=1) \\ \end{array}$ mu3), defval=mu3, default=1) ld1 = userint("TRIPLET\_LD1=", "Gaussian line depth ratio (first line) [%d]" % (ld1), defval=ld1, default=1) ld2 = userint("TRIPLET\_LD2=", "Gaussian line depth ratio (second line) [%d]" % (ld2) , defval=ld2, default=1) ld3 = userint("TRIPLET\_LD3=", "Gaussian line depth ratio (third line) [%d]" % (ld3),  $show_flux = 'n'$ show\_flux = usertext("SHOW\_FLUX=", "Show summed flux and fit of triplet of each star ? y/[n]", defval=show\_flux, default=1) cancel("SHOW\_FLUX=") anyout (str ('SHOW\_FLUX = %s' % (show\_flux)))  $\#\ Calculate$  other characteristics of CaT from input  $A1\ =\ -1$ A2 = A1/ld1 \* ld2A3 = A1/ld1 \* ld3sigma1 = 0.3sigma2 = sigma1 $\begin{array}{l} sigma3 \ = \ sigma1 \\ d2 \ = \ mu2 \ - \ mu1 \ \# \ separation \ between \ first \ and \ second \ triplet \ line \\ d3 \ = \ mu3 \ - \ mu1 \ \# \ separation \ between \ first \ and \ third \ triplet \ line \end{array}$ #find central coordinates of stars in image at first wavelength position  $result = find_stars(i[0,:,:])$ coordinates = result [0]
```
clipped_data = result[1]
823
          number_of_stars = len(coordinates)
824
          anyout(str('number of stars: '+str(number_of_stars))))
825
826
827
          # declare variables for star-iterative process
828
          star_nr = 1
          sX_data = [
829
          sY_data = []
830
          sRA_data = []
sDEC_data = []
831
832
          sboxradius_data = []
833
          slambda1_data = []
834
         sEW1_data = []
835
836
          sEW3_data = []
837
          serr_EW1_data =
838
          serr_EW2_data =
839
          serr_EW3_data = []
840
          sSNR_data = []
841
          sBcounts_data = [] \# these counts are pseudo-B sVcounts_data = [] \# these counts are pseudo-V
842
843
          sNr_data = []
844
845
          # begin iteration over all star coordinates
846
          for coordinate in coordinates:
847
               # set variables of star
anyout(str('star '+str(star_nr)+' of '+str(number_of_stars)))
848
849
850
               xstar = coordinate[0]
               ystar = coordinate[1] + 1
851
852
               offset = 0
               summed_flux_star = zeros(len(xi))
                                                               \# len(xi) = len(xs) but xs not yet defined
853
               summed_flux_centrum = zeros(len(xi)) # len(xi) = len(xs) but xs not yet defined
854
855
               # begin iteration over (box-shaped) shells around star
856
               while offset != -1:
    # calculate coordinates of pixels in box around star
    # (inner box will be deleted in a upcoming for loop to create the shell)
    xp_lo = xstar - min(offset, xstar)
    # lower x coordi
857
858
859
                                                                                                \# lower x coordinate
860
                           of shell
                     xp_{hi} = xstar + min(offset, len(i[0,:,0]) - xstar - 1) # upper x coordinate of shell
861
                     yp_lo = ystar - min(offset, ystar)
                                                                                                # lower y coordinate
862
                           of shell
                     yp_hi = ystar + min(offset, len(i[0,0,:]) - ystar - 1) # upper y coordinate
863
                            of shell
864
                     \# begin iteration over all x values (bounded by xp_lo and xp_hi) in shell
865
                     for xp in arange(xp_lo, xp_hi+1):
    # set/declare variables as empty
866
867
                          \lim_{x \to 0} \operatorname{row} = []
868
869
                          \# \ begin \ iteration \ over \ all \ y \ values \ (bounded \ by \ yp\_lo \ and \ yp\_hi) \ in
870
                               column
                          for yp in arange(yp_lo, yp_hi+1):
871
                               # check if pixel is really in shell or in inner box (if in inner box
: go to next yp value)
if ((xp == xp_lo) or (xp == xp_hi) or (yp == yp_lo) or (yp == yp_hi)
872
873
                                     ) == False:
                                     continue
874
875
                               \# get spectrum for position (xp, yp):
876
                               \mathbf{x}\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{i} [\mathbf{\dot{s}}, \mathbf{x}\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}\mathbf{p}]
877
878
                               # remove useless part (setted by user)
xs = xs[indexmin:indexmax]
879
880
                               if (xp == xp_lo and yp == yp_lo):
summed_flux_shell = zeros(len(xs))
881
882
883
                               # linear approximation of continuum using least squares method for
884
                               initial estimates of triplet & continuum fit
a, b, sigA, sigB, R = linear_regression(xi, xs)
885
                               yl = b*array(xi)+a
886
887
                               888
889
                                if result != False:
890
                                     t_{-}I \ , \ t_{-}x \ = \ result
891
                                else:
892
                                     break
893
894
```

- 1	
895	# triplet fitting   initial estimates, using location of triplet in $d_{ota}$ (suffir ')
806	$\operatorname{mul} d = \operatorname{tx} [0];$ $\operatorname{mul} d = \operatorname{mul} d + d2;$ $\operatorname{mul} d = \operatorname{mul} d + d3$
897	ie = [A1, mu1.d, sigma1, mu2.d, sigma2, mu3.d, sigma3, a, b]
898	
899	# actual fitting
900	result = tripletfit(xi, xs, ie)
901	if result.params $==$ None: # if fitting has failed
902	$\operatorname{snr} = \operatorname{'NaN'}$
903	else:
$9^{0}4$	<pre>ytc = tripletfunction(xi, result.params) # curve containing</pre>
	triplet and continuum
905	a = result. params [7]
906	b = result. params [8]
907	yc = continuum function(xi, [a,b]) # linear (continuum) part of (
	residuel = vs. vts. vts.
908	restruction = restruction re
909	err vtc = tripletfunction error(xi result params std res/5)
011	
912	# snr estimation
913	<pre>snr, xi_snr = snr_estimation_by_region(xs, ytc, xi, snr_xmax,</pre>
	snr_xmin)
914	
915	# sum flux
916	summed_flux_shell = summed_flux_shell + xs
917	#last line in iteration over all y values in column
918	#continue iteration over all x values in shell
919	Alast line in iteration over all a values in shall
920	$\#_{iasi}$ into in inclusion over all 2 values in shell $\#_{continue}$ iteration over shells
921	
022	# look for triplet in summed spectrum of shell (using rest frame
5-5	characteristics)
924	result = find_triplet(summed_flux_shell, xi, mu1, d2, d3, ld1, ld2, ld3)
925	if result != False:
926	$t_I$ , $t_x = result$
927	else:
928	anyout(str('No triplet found in summed spectrum of shell!'))
929	anyout(str('Fitting not possible in summed spectrum of shell!'))
930	anyout(str('Skip this potential star and go to next.'))
931	break
932	// Amin lab fitting   initial actimates using labeling of triplet in summad
933	# implet fitting   initial estimates, using location of implet in summea
0.9.4	$muld = t x \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $mu2d = mu1d \pm d2$ $mu3d = mu1d \pm d3$
934	$ma_1 = -ma_1 = ma_1 = -ma_1 $
935	result = tripletfit(xi, summed_flux_shell, ie)
937	
938	if result.params == None: # if fitting failed
939	anyout(str('Triplet found in summed spectrum of shell.'))
940	<pre>anyout(str('No fit in summed spectrum of shell!'))</pre>
941	anyout(str('Summing next square $(r \rightarrow r+2)$ .'))
942	$\operatorname{snr}\operatorname{shell} = 0.$
943	else: # if fitting succeeded
944	# retrieve curves of triplet and continuum (and continuum only) and do
	shi estimation of summa spectrum in shell $y = x_{ij}$
945	triplet and continuum
946	$snr.shell$ , $xi_snr.shell = snr.estimation$ by region (summed flux shell
J 1 4	ytc_shell, xi, snr_xmax, snr_xmin)
947	<pre>snr_star, xi_snr_star = snr_estimation_by_region(summed_flux_star,</pre>
	ytc_shell, xi, snr_xmax, snr_xmin)
948	
949	# check whether snr of shell is bigger than snr of star
950	if offset $= 0$ : # central pixel of star is current pixel
951	$snr_star = 0$ . # to force that this pixel will be used in the summation
952	$last_snr_shell = 0$ .
953	$summed_iux_star = zeros(ien(xs))$
954	# make sure that the initial summed flue star $-$ zeros(lan( $\pi i$ )) can be
955	# make sure that he initial summed_jul_star = $2eros(ien(xr))$ can be replaced by summed flur shell
956	if snr.star = 'inf':
957	$\operatorname{snr}\operatorname{star} = 0.$
958	
959	# make sure that the last_snr_shell will not become infinite (otherwise the
	script will enter a loop of inf length)
960	if last_snr_shell == 'inf':
961	$last_snr_shell = 0.$
962	

 $Bachelor \ Research \ Project: \ ``Resolved \ Stars \ in \ a \ large \ IFU \ Data \ Cube \ with \ GIPSY"$ 

```
if snr_shell > last_snr_shell: # if snr of shell is higher than snr of
963
                       pixels of star: add flux
                       summed_flux_star = summed_flux_star + summed_flux_shell
964
                      last_snr_shell = snr_shell # remember snr of this shell
965
966
                  elif summed_flux_star.any() == zeros(len(xi)).any() and offset < 10:
    summed_flux_star = summed_flux_star + summed_flux_shell
967
968
                      summed_flux_centrum = summed_flux_centrum + summed_flux_shell
969
                      anyout(str('Force new shell because inner pixels have bad spectrum'))
970
                  else:
971
972
                      sboxradius_data.append(offset -0.0)
                      summed_flux_star = summed_flux_star
973
                      offset = -2
974
975
                  \# prepare variables in iteration to go to next shell
976
                  \# reset summed flux of shell
977
                  summed_flux_shell = zeros(len(xs))
978
                  offset = offset + 1
979
                  #last line in iteration over shell
980
             \#continue iteration over all star coordinates
981
982
             # calculate characteristics of stars, by fitting a triplet (again)
# to measure equivalent width, the summed flux of the center is subtracted.
    summed_flux_centrum is nonzero when there is no (complete) triplet in the
    stars (x,y)center only. It is not subtracted when measuring flux.
983
984
985
             \# linear approximation of continuum using least squares method for initial
986
             987
              yl = b*array(xi)+a
988
989
             \# find the triplet, using its characeristics
990
             result = find_triplet(summed_flux_star-summed_flux_centrum, xi, mu1, d2, d3, ld1
991
             , ld2 , ld3)
if result != False:
992
                 t_I, t_x = result
993
              else:
994
                  break
995
996
             997
                                         mu2_d = mu1_d + d2;
998
             ie = [A1, mu1_d, sigma1, mu2_d, sigma2, mu3_d, sigma3, a, b]
999
1000
             # actual fitting
1001
              result = tripletfit (xi, summed_flux_star-summed_flux_centrum, ie)
1002
             if result.params — None: # if fitting has failed
EW1 = 'NaN'
1003
1004
                  EW2 = 'NaN'
1005
                  EW3 = 'NaN'
1006
                  lambda1 = 'NaN'
Bcounts = 'NaN'
1007
1008
                  Vcounts = 'NaN'
1009
                  \operatorname{snr} = \operatorname{'NaN'}
1010
                  lambda1 = 'NaN'
1011
             else:
1012
                 ytc = tripletfunction(xi, result.params) # curve containing triplet and
1013
                      continuum
                  a = result. params [7]
1014
                  b = result. params[8]
1015
                  1016
                      continuum) curve
1017
                  # Show flux and fit to it
if show_flux == 'y':
1018
1019
                      spec_plot(xi, summed_flux_star, summed_flux_centrum, ytc, yc)
1020
1021
                  # Equivalent width calculation
1022
                  residual = xs - ytc
1023
                  std_res = numpy.std(residual)
1024
                  EW1, EW2, EW3, err_EW1, err_EW2, err_EW3 = equivalent_widths(xi, result.
1025
                      {\rm params}\;,\;\; {\rm std\_res}\,)
1026
                  # snr estimation
1027
                  ".
snr, xi_snr = snr_estimation_by_region(summed_flux_star, ytc, xi, snr_xmax,
1028
                      snr_xmin)
1029
                 1030
1031
```

1032	EW1 = 'NaN'
1033	EW2 = nm_to_angstrom (EW2)
1034	if $EW2 < 0$ .:
1035	EW2 = 'NaN'
1036	$EW3 = nm_{to_angstrom} (EW3)$
1037	if $EW3 < 0$ .:
1038	EW3 = 'NaN'
1039	Bcounts = sum(summed_flux_star[0:len(summed_flux_star)/2])
1040	Vcounts = sum(summed_flux_star len(summed_flux_star)/2:len(summed_flux_star)
1041	lambdal = nm_to_angstrom(result.params[1])
1042	
1043	sew Laata.append (Ewi)
1044	$e^{EW2}$ data append $(e^{EW2})$
1045	serr EW2 data append (err EW2)
1040	sEW3 data append (EW3)
1048	serr EW3 data, append (err EW3)
1049	sX_data, append(xstar)
1050	sY_data.append(vstar)
1051	sRA_data.append(x_to_RA(xstar))
1052	sDEC_data.append(y_to_DEC(ystar))
1053	slambda1_data.append(lambda1)
1054	sSNR_data.append(snr)
1055	sBcounts_data.append(Bcounts)
1056	$sVcounts_data.append(Vcounts)$
1057	sNr_data.append(star_nr)
1058	
1059	# output summed spectrum of star with number star_nr (setted by user)
1060	if spectra_output == 'y':
1061	$ID = str(star_nr)$
1062	legend = array (['Spectral_Value', 'Flux_Value'])
1063	datacube = array ( $[x_1, summed_lux_star/st]$ ) # rescale wavelength output
1064	anyout (str (Output summed spectrum: +export_spec_csv(legend, datacube, ID)))
1065	$star_nr = star_nr + 1$
1000	$\#_{last}$ inte in iteration over all star coordinates
1007	#continue part basside any tieration
1060	# rescale output that is effected by the initial scaling
1070	s B counts data = sB counts data / sf
1071	$sVcounts_data = sVcounts_data / sf$
1072	
1073	# generate output
1074	anyout(str('Output generated:'))
1075	anyout(str('X = "%s" ' % (export_csv(sX_data))))
1076	anyout(str('Y = "%s" ' % (export_csv(sY_data))))
1077	anyout $(str('RA_{-}) = "\%s", \% (export_csv(sRA_data))))$
1078	anyout(str('DEC = "%s"', % (export_csv(sDEC_data))))
1079	anyout (str ('boxrad = "%s"' % (export_csv (sboxradius_data))))
1080	anyout (str ('lambdal = "%s" % (export_csv (slambdal_data))))
1081	anyout (str ('EW1' = "%s" % (export_csv(sEW1_data))))
1082	anyout $(\text{str}(\text{EW2}) = 70\text{s}^{-7} (\text{export csv}(\text{sEW2-data})))$
1083	anyout (str (EW) = $\frac{100}{100}$ (export csv (sew 3-data))))
1084	anyout (str('EW2err $-$ "%s", "% (export csv(serr EW2 data))))
1085	anyout (str ('EW3err = "%s", % (export csv(serr EW3 data))))
1087	anyout (str 'SNR $=$ "%s", " (export csv (sNR data)))
1088	anvout (str ('Vcounts = "%s", % (export.csv(sVcounts.data))))
1089	anyout (str('Bcounts = "%s"', % (export_csv(sBcounts_data))))
1090	anyout (str('num = "%s"', % (export_csv( $sNr_data$ ))))
1091	
1092	# close the set
1093	del s
1094	
1095	# terminate task execution
1096	finis()