

Tutorial IV.
Observational Cosmology: Redshift & Distances

Question 1.
Redshift

Imagine you are looking at a source that has a cosmological redshift z_{cosmos} , while it is moving with respect to the expanding Universe with a velocity v yielding a Doppler redshift $z_{Doppler}$, and the observed radiation is emanating from the region around the central massive black hole and has a gravitational redshift z_{grav} . What then is the total redshift z_{tot} ?

Question 2.

Coordinate Distance and Mattig's Formulae.

When discussing Robertson-Walker geometries, we encountered the issue of how to translate our theoretical models into observationally relevant properties.

The main issue in translating the geometry of space into observational realities is the relation between the “theoretical” coordinate distance r (the comoving coordinate location of an object comoving with the expansion of the Universe, usually taken as the hypothetical location at the spacetime hypersurface at present time) and the redshift z of an object. The relations $r(z)$ are called *Mattig's formula*. In general it is not possible to find analytical expressions for the expansion history, but for a matter-dominated Universe this is perfectly feasible.

To keep it simple, we are first going to observe in matter-dominated Universe. At the end of the sections on the Robertson-Walker metric, we derived the general relation between coordinate distance r and redshift z ,

$$r = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dy}{H(y)/H_0} \quad (1)$$

- a) Show that for a matter-dominated Universe you obtain the following relation for the coordinate distance $r(z)$:

$$r = \frac{c}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dy}{(1+y)\sqrt{1+\Omega_0 y}} \quad (2)$$

- b) Calculate the coordinate distance $r(z)$ for an object in an Einstein-de Sitter Universe ($\Omega_0 = 1$). That is, express r in terms of redshift z .
- c) Calculate the coordinate distance $r(z)$ for an object in an empty matter-dominated Universe ($\Omega_0 = 0$).

To be able to assess observational probes we also need to have expressions for the curvature measure $R_0 S_k(r/R_0)$, with

$$S_k(r/R_0) = \begin{cases} \sinh(r/R_0) & k = -1 \\ r/R_0 & k = 0 \\ \sin(r/R_0) & k = +1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

- d) Calculate $R_0 S_k(r/R_0)$ for an Einstein-de Sitter Universe, and show that it is equal to

$$R_0 S_k(r/R_0) = \frac{2c}{H_0} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+z}} \right\} \quad (4)$$

e) Calculate $R_0 S_k(r/R_0)$ for an empty $\Omega_0 = 0$ Universe, and show that

$$R_0 S_k(r/R_0) = \frac{c}{2H_0} z \frac{2+z}{1+z} \quad (5)$$

The general expressions for Mattig's formulae in a matter-dominated Universe are:

$$R_0 S_k(r/R_0) = \frac{2c}{H_0} \frac{\Omega_0 z + (\Omega_0 - 2) \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 z} - 1 \right\}}{\Omega_0^2 (1+z)} \quad (6)$$

or, more convenient for $\Omega_0 \ll 1$,

$$R_0 S_k(r/R_0) = \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{z}{(1+z)} \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 z} + z}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \Omega_0 z} + \Omega_0 z/2} \quad (7)$$

Question 3.
Angular and Luminosity Distance.

Using the expressions for Mattig's formulae above,

- a) Give the general expression for luminosity distance $D_L(z)$ and angular diameter distance $D_A(z)$ in a matter-dominated Universe (use expression eqn. 6).
- b) Calculate specifically the expression for the angular diameter distance $D_A(z)$ in an Einstein-de Sitter Universe.
- c) Show that $D_A(z)$ in an EdS Universe has a maximum. Derive the redshift z_{max} at which $D_A(z)$ reaches its maximum. Make a sketch of $D_A(z)$ vs. redshift z .
- d) Repeat the same for an empty $\Omega_0 = 0$ Universe and for a $\Omega_0 = 0.3$ Universe. What difference in behaviour with z do you find ?
- e) What does this mean for the angular size of an object with a fixed physical size L seen at redshift z . Answer this question by plotting the angle $\theta(z)$ as function of z for $\Omega_0 = 1$, $\Omega_0 = 0.3$ and $\Omega_0 = 0.0$.
- f) For $H_0 = 71$ km/s/Mpc calculate the value of the angular diameter distance for objects at $z = 1089$.

We are now going to look at a very important application, observing the Microwave Background. We want to work out what the angular size is of the horizon of the Universe at recombination/decoupling. In this, we make the simplifying assumption of living in a matter-dominated Universe. The horizon scale at recombination is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_H &= 3ct_{dec} \\ &= \frac{2c}{H_{dec}} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

- g) Show that an approximate expression for $D_a(z)$ at high redshifts $z \gg 1$

$$D_A \approx \frac{2c}{H_0 \Omega_0} \frac{1}{z} \tag{9}$$

- h) Combing the expression for the horizon distance at decoupling R_H and the approximate expression for d_A , what is the angular size θ_H of a patch on the sky with the size of the horizon at recombination in terms of H_{dec} , z_{dec} , Ω_0 and H_0 ?

- i) Show that for $z \gg 1$, the Hubble parameter $H(z)$ in a matter-dominated Universe is approximately

$$H^2(z) \approx \Omega_0 H_0^2 z^3 \quad (10)$$

- j) Show that for the recombination/decoupling horizon angle on the sky,

$$\theta_H \approx 1.74^\circ \Omega_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{z_{dec}}{1089} \right)^{-1/2} \quad (11)$$

- k) Given that temperatures on the CMB sky are the same everywhere, what conclusion do you draw from your inference ?

Question 4.
Cosmology, the Search for Two Numbers.

In a famous 1970 Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics review, the observational cosmologist Allan Sandage described all of cosmology as a “Search for Two Numbers”. The two numbers were

$$\begin{cases} H_0 \\ q_0 \end{cases}$$

We are going to explore what the reason was behind this stout statement (which by now is far besides reality ...). And in this we do not need any dynamics ... no assumption about a Friedmann Universe needed (yet),

- a) On the basis of a Taylor series expansion of the expansion factor $a(t)$, with respect to the current cosmic time t_0 , show that

$$a(t) \approx 1 + H_0(t - t_0) - \frac{1}{2}q_0H_0^2(t - t_0)^2 \quad (12)$$

- b) By inverting the above expression for $a(t)$ and using the expression for coordinate distance of a source whose radiation was emitted at t_e and has just reached us at t_0 ,

$$d_p(t_0) = r = c \int_{t_e}^{t_0} \frac{dt}{a(t)} \quad (13)$$

show that

$$d_p(t_0) \approx c(t_0 - t_e) + \frac{cH_0}{2}(t_0 - t_e)^2. \quad (14)$$

- c) Imagine you receive radiation from an object with redshift z , which it radiated at cosmic time t_e . Show that the approximate relationship between z and t_e is given by

$$z \approx H_0(t_0 - t_e) + \left(\frac{1+q_0}{2}\right) H_0^2(t_0 - t_e)^2 \quad (15)$$

- d) Invert this equation to obtain

$$t_0 - t_e \approx \frac{1}{H_0} \left\{ z - \left(\frac{1+q_0}{2}\right) z^2 \right\} \quad (16)$$

- e) On the basis of results above show that for $z \ll 1$ we have the following approximate relation between z and coordinate distance $d_p(t_0)$,

$$d_p(t_0) = \frac{c}{H_0} z \left\{ 1 - \frac{1+q_0}{2} z \right\} \quad (17)$$

- f) Taking along this approximation for $z \ll 1$, we may find an approximation for the luminosity distance of any universe, $d_L \approx (1+z)d_p(t_0)$. Show that an approximate relation for d_L is therefore

$$d + L \approx \frac{c}{H_0} z \left\{ 1 + \frac{1 - q_0}{2} z \right\} \quad (18)$$

- g) When we observe an object with absolute bolometric magnitude M_{bol} at a redshift z , show that in a Universe with acceleration parameter q_0 ,

$$m_{bol} \approx M_{bol} + 5 \log \left[\frac{c}{H_0} / 10pc \right] + 5 \log z + 1.086(1 - q_0)z + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \quad (19)$$

- h) Take an empty $\Omega_0 = 0$ matter-dominated Universe (i.e. $q_0 = 0$) as your reference for $(m - M)$. Infer what the relation $(m - M)(z) - (m - M)_0(z)$ is for three Universes. One should have $q_0 = 0.5$ (EdS), one $q_0 = 0.15$ ($\Omega_0 = 0.3$ matter-dominated) and one $q_0 = -0.55$ (concordance Universe). Draw a graph of the various predictions.

Note: the latter is exactly what the Supernova Ia experiments have found: an accelerating Universe.