DYNAMICS OF GALAXIES

Two-body problem

Piet van der Kruit Kapteyn Astronomical Institute University of Groningen the Netherlands

Winter 2008/9

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body problem

Contents

Fundamentals Solution of the orbit Anomalies and Kepler's equation Geocentric versus heliocentric models

Contents

Fundamentals

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum Solution of the orbit Equation of motion The energy integral Anomalies and Kepler's equation Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs Geocentric versus heliocentric models The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum

メロン メタン メラン メラン 一座

Fundamentals

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body probl

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum

The fundamental equations

Take two masses m_1 and m_2 with position vectors \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 .

Then there are two fundamental equations:

$$m_1\ddot{\vec{r}}_1 = -Gm_1m_2\frac{\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2}{r^3}$$
(1)

$$m_2 \ddot{\vec{r}}_2 = -Gm_1 m_2 \frac{\vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1}{r^3}$$
(2)

Here $\ddot{\vec{r}}$ denotes the second derivative with respect to time $d^2\vec{r}/dt^2$.

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum

Center of gravity

The center of gravity has the position

$$\vec{R} = rac{m_1 \vec{r}_1 + m_2 \vec{r}_2}{m_1 + m_2} \equiv rac{m_1 \vec{r}_1 + m_2 \vec{r}_2}{M}$$

Add (1) and (2), then we get

$$m_1\ddot{\vec{r}}_1+m_2\ddot{\vec{r}}_2=0$$

Integrate this twice, then

$$m_1 \vec{r}_1 + m_2 \vec{r}_2 \equiv M \vec{R} = \vec{a}t + \vec{b}$$
 (3)

So the center of gravity is in linear motion.

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum

Now go to a co-moving coordinate system, in which the center of gravity is the origin:

$$m_1 \vec{r}_1 + m_2 \vec{r}_2 = 0$$

Then

$$\ddot{\vec{r}}_1 = -G \frac{m_2 \vec{r}_1 - m_2 \vec{r}_2}{r^3} = -GM \frac{\vec{r}_1}{r^3}$$
$$\ddot{\vec{r}}_2 = -G \frac{m_1 \vec{r}_2 - m_1 \vec{r}_1}{r^3} = -GM \frac{\vec{r}_2}{r^3}$$

So for $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2$ (the vector between the two bodies)

$$\ddot{\vec{r}} = -GM\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} \tag{4}$$

We have three differential equations of the second order, so we will have in principle $\mathbf{6}$ constants of integration.

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum

Angular momentum

Multiply eqn. (4) with \vec{r} . Then

$$\vec{r} \times \ddot{\vec{r}} = -GM \frac{\vec{r} \times \vec{r}}{r^3} = 0$$

Integrate this equation with respect to time. This gives

$$\vec{r} \times \dot{\vec{r}} = \text{constant} = \vec{h}$$
 (5)

This can be verified by differentiating and using $\dot{\vec{r}} \times \dot{\vec{r}} = 0$.

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum

Eqn. (5) tells us that angular momentum is conserved (which is also Kepler's second law) and that the motions of the bodies is restricted to the plane

$$\vec{r}\cdot\vec{h}=0$$

With \vec{h} we already have identified three of the integration constants.

The fundamental equations Center of gravity Angular momentum

イロン イタン イヨン イヨン 二温



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body problem

Equation of motion The energy integral

Solution of the orbit

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-bod

Equation of motion The energy integral

Equation of motion

For what we will do next we need the following result from vector calculus:

$$ec{a} imes (ec{b} imes ec{c}) = (ec{a} \cdot ec{c}) \cdot ec{b} - (ec{a} \cdot ec{b}) \cdot ec{c}$$
 $ec{a} \cdot ec{a} = ec{a}$

since $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} = a^2$.

Again start with eq. (4) and now multiply with \vec{h} .

Equation of motion The energy integral

イロン イタン イヨン イヨン 三連

$$\vec{h} \times \ddot{\vec{r}} = -\frac{GM}{r^3} (\vec{h} \times \vec{r}) = -\frac{GM}{r^3} (\vec{r} \times \dot{\vec{r}}) \times \vec{r}$$

$$= -\frac{GM}{r^3} \{ r^2 \dot{\vec{r}} - (\vec{r} \cdot \dot{\vec{r}}) \vec{r} \}$$

$$= -\frac{GM}{r^3} \{ r^2 \dot{\vec{r}} - (r\dot{r}) \vec{r} \}$$

$$= -GM \left(\frac{\dot{\vec{r}}}{r} - \frac{\dot{r} \vec{r}}{r^2} \right)$$

$$= -GM \frac{d}{dt} \vec{r} = -GM \frac{d\hat{r}}{dt}$$

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body proble

Equation of motion The energy integral

Integrate this

$$\vec{h} \times \dot{\vec{r}} = -GM\hat{r} - \vec{P} \tag{6}$$

To find further information about \vec{P} multiply with \vec{h}

$$\vec{h} \cdot (\vec{h} imes \dot{\vec{r}}) = -GM\hat{r} \cdot \vec{h} - \vec{P} \cdot \vec{h}$$

In this equation the term on the left and the first one on the right are equal to 0, so

$$0 = \vec{P} \cdot \vec{h} \tag{7}$$

So we find then that \vec{P} is perpendicular to \vec{h} and this gives two new constants of integration.

Equation of motion The energy integral

Now go back to eq. (6) and multiply by \vec{r} :

$$\vec{r} \cdot (\vec{h} \times \dot{\vec{r}}) = -GM\vec{r} \cdot \hat{r} - \vec{P} \cdot \vec{r} -\vec{h} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \dot{\vec{r}}) = -GMr - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} -\vec{h} \cdot \vec{h} = -h^2 = -GMr - \vec{P} \cdot \vec{r}$$

So

$$\frac{h^2}{GMr} = 1 + \frac{\vec{P}}{GM} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}}{r} = 1 + \frac{\vec{P}}{GM} \cdot \hat{r}$$

Denote the angle between \hat{r} and \vec{P} by ν (the so-called *true anomaly*), so that

 $\vec{P}\cdot\hat{r}=P\cos\nu$

Equation of motion The energy integral

Then we get

$$\frac{h^2}{GMr} = 1 + \frac{P}{GM}\cos\nu \tag{8}$$

This is called the *equation of motion*.

Note that this is the general equation for a conic section in polar coordinates for the case that one of the foci is located at the origin:

 $r = \frac{q}{1 + e \cos \nu}$

From this we see that the excentricity *e* is

$$e = \frac{P}{GM}$$

Equation of motion The energy integral

For the case of an ellipse (e < 1) we have

$$rac{h^2}{GM}=q=a(1-e^2)$$

and for a hyperbola (e > 1)

$$\frac{h^2}{GM} = q = a(e^2 - 1)$$

A parabola has e = 1.

For elliptical planetary orbits the *perihelion* distance (the smallest r) is a(1 - e) and the *aphelion* distance a(1 + e).

From eq. (7) we see that $\nu = 0$ corresponds to the smallest *r* and that therefore \vec{P} indicates the direction of the perihelion.

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body problem

Equation of motion The energy integral



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem

・ロシ ・御シ ・ヨシ ・ヨシ 三星

The energy integral

The total kinetic and potential energy (also called the energy integral) must be constant

$$\frac{V^2}{2} - \frac{GM}{r} = C$$

The angular momentum equals the angular velocity $d\nu/dt$ times the square of the radius.

So $h = r^2 d\nu/dt$.

The tangential velocity is

$$r\frac{d\nu}{dt} = \frac{h}{r} = \frac{h}{q}(1 + e\cos\nu) = \frac{GM}{h}(1 + e\cos\nu)$$

Equation of motion The energy integral

The equation for an elliptical orbit was

$$\frac{a}{r}(1-e^2) = \frac{h^2}{GMr} = 1 + e\cos\nu$$

Differentiate this and use $d\nu/dt = h/r^2$, then we find the radial velocity to be

$$\dot{r}=rac{eGM}{h}\sin
u$$

From this we find the total velocity to be

$$V^{2} = \left(\frac{GM}{h}\right)^{2} \left(1 + e^{2} + 2e\cos\nu\right)$$

Substitute that in the energy integral, then we get

$$C = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{GM}{h}\right)^2 (1 - e^2) = -\frac{G}{2}$$

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Equation of motion The energy integral

With this we can calculate the velocity in the orbit at any position:

$$V^2 = GM\left(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{a}\right) \tag{9}$$

For a parabolic orbit we have C = 0 and for a hyperbolic one C = GM/2a.

For a circular orbit

$$V_{\rm circ}^2 = \frac{GM}{r}$$

At each radius r the velocity of escape is that in a parabolic orbit through that position, so

$$V_{\rm escape}^2 = 2 \frac{GM}{r}$$

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

Anomalies and Kepler's equation

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body problem

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

Anomalies and Kepler's third law

The position in an orbit follows from the value of the true anomaly ν from

$$r = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{1 + e \cos \nu}$$
(10)

Now define the *excentric anomaly* E as

$$r = a(1 - e\cos E) \tag{11}$$

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

The anomalies in the orbit:

true anomaly ν ,

excentric anomaly E,

mean anomaly M.



イロン イタン イヨン イヨン 二連

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

Denoting the semi-major axis as *a* and the semi-minor axis as $b = a\sqrt{1-e^2}$.

From the figure it can be seen that

$$r^{2} = PD^{2} + DF^{2} = \left(\frac{b}{a}DQ\right)^{2} + (CF - CD)^{2}$$
$$= \left(\frac{b}{a}a\sin E\right)^{2} + (ae - a\cos E)^{2}$$
$$= a^{2}(1 - e\cos E)^{2}$$

Furthermore with eqn.'s (10) and (11) it can be shown that

$$\tan\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}} \tan\left(\frac{E}{2}\right) \tag{12}$$

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

E has been defined such that $dE/dt \ge 0$, since *E* is an angle measured from the center of the orbit and follows the projection of the planet as it goes along the circumcircle in the same direction as ν .

We had

$$\vec{r} \times \dot{\vec{r}} = \vec{h}$$

Take the square of that and substitute the energy integral:

$$GM\left(\frac{2}{r}-\frac{1}{a}\right)r^2-r^2\dot{r}^2=GMa(1-e^2)$$

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

Differentiate eq. (11)

$$\dot{r} = ae \frac{dE}{dt} \sin E$$

and substitute this

$$dt = \sqrt{\frac{a^3}{GM}}(1 - e\cos E)dE$$

Integrate this over the full orbit

$$\int_0^T dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{a^3}{GM}} (1 - e\cos E) dE$$

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

So

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{a^3}{GM}}$$

This is Kepler's third or harmonic law, which can also be written as

$$\frac{T^2}{a^3} = \frac{4\pi^2}{G(m_1 + m_2)} \tag{13}$$

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body problem

Kepler's equation

E does not increase linearly with time, just as is the case for ν .

Therefore we use the *mean anomaly* M, which by definition increases linearly with time.

$$M = \frac{2\pi}{T}(t - t_{\circ}) = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{a^{3}}}(t - t_{\circ}) = n(t - t_{\circ})$$
(14)

Here n is the mean motion and t_o the time of perihelion passage. Then

$$dt = \frac{1}{n}(1 - e\cos E)dE$$

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

Integrate this

$$n(t-t_{\circ}) = M = E - e \sin E$$
 (15)

This is called *Kepler's equation*.

It shows how Kepler constructed and described planetary orbits.

The problem remains how to find for a given value of M what value E follows from this equation.

Kepler used tabular listings of the two for various values of the excentricity *e*.

Anomalies and Kepler's third law Kepler's equation Orbital parametrs

Orbital parameters

We have identified in the above 6 integration constants:

• Three constants from the angular momentum vector \vec{h} . This defines the plane of the orbit and the magnitude of the total angular momentum (and through this the size of the orbit).

• Two constants from the vector \vec{P} .

Its direction defines that of the perihelion and its magnitude de excentricity of the orbit.

• The sixth constant is the time of perihelion passage t_{\circ} .

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Geocentric versus heliocentric models

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body problem

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

The geocentric model

- The philosophies of Plato (417 348 BC) and Aristotle (384 322 BC) separated the world in the imperfect one here on Earth (the 'Sublunary') and from the Moon onward the perfect spheres of the Sun and planets and the stellar sky.
- Consequently the motions of the Sun and planets had to be 'perfect', i.e. exactly on circles and with uniform angular velocity.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

- Claudius Ptolemy (±100 170 AD) completed the geocentric model that was used to describe the motions of the planets.
- It was assumed that the (outer) planets moved on epicycles of which the center moved on a deferent.
- Obviously the epicyle is a reflection of the Earth's orbit and the deferent that of the planet's orbit.
- For inner planets this is the other way around.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

マロン マロン マヨン マヨン 三連



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body proble

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

- In reality planets move with varying angular velocity in their orbits, so the model failed to predict position well.
- Ptolemy therefore assumed that the angular motion in the deferent was not uniform with respect to the center, but with respect to the equant.
- The equant is opposite and equidistant from the center with respect to the Earth.
- It is surprising that this works so well.
- ▶ This can actually be explained.¹

¹See Deeming et al., Observatory 97, 84 (1977).

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

イロン イタン イヨン イヨン 三連



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-body problem

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

The angular velocity of the planet as seen from the Sun is

$$rac{d
u}{dt} \propto (1-e^2)^{1/2}(1-e\cos E)^{-2}$$

- ► This varies proportionally over a range from (1 e)⁻² at perihelion to (1 + e)⁻² at aphelium.
- For the empty focus the appropriate formula is

$$rac{d
u'}{dt} \propto (1-e^2)^{1/2}(1-e^2\cos^2 E)^{-1}$$

► This variation is over a relative range from (1 - e²)⁻¹ at perihelion and aphelion to 1 for E = 90° or E = 270°.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

- ► For an excentricity of the Earth's orbit (e = 0.017) the variation is from -3.4% to +3.4% around the mean from the Sun, but only between -0.014% to +0.014% from the empty focus.
- For Mars with an orbital excentricity e = 0.093, the difference is substantial and remarkable. The range is from -17.7% to +19.5 % from the Sun, but only between -0.4% and +0.4% from the empty focus!
- This is exactly what Ptolemy's model needs.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

- There is a remarkable fact in the full picture of the solar system (which Ptolemy probably never drew).
- The directions in de the epicycles for the outer planets all have to be the same, while the epicycles of the inner planets both have te be centered on the line from the Earth to the Sun.
- Also the changing brightness of Venus was ignored.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

The Copernican revolution

- Nicolaus Copernicus (1473 1543) made the model more complicated by approximating the orbits not by using the equant, but by superposing uniform circular motions, such that is corresponded more to what we now know te be Keplerian motion along ellipses.
- He then simplified the model by assuming that the Sun was in the center.
- Copernicus was more a man of the Middle Ages.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute Two-b

Two-body problem

メロシ メタシ メミシ メミシー ヨー わえぐ

- ► Johannes Kepler (1571 1630) was the first to actually go and determine the shape of the orbits.
- He was the first to start from observations and questioned (for the first time in almost two millennia) the validity of the postulates of perfect motion by Plato and Aristoteles.
- Kepler used observations from Tycho Brahe (1546 1601) to do this first for the orbit of Mars.
- He used triangulation by selecting times when either Mars or the Earth were in the same position in their orbits.
- In this way he derived his first two laws and abandoned the concept of the perfect circular, uniform motion.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem

・ロシ ・御シ ・ヨシ ・ヨシ 三星

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem

イロン イタン イヨン イヨン 三連

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

- The heliocentric model was confirmed by Galilei Galileo (1564 – 1642) with his observations of the satellites of Jupiter and the phases of Venus strarting in 1609.
- Eventually Isaac Newton (1642 1727) explained Kelper's laws (includig the harmonic law) as a prediction from his theory of gravity.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Two-body problem

メロシ メタシ メモン メモシー 毛

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

- It is not certain who invented the telescope. Probably many lensmakers and opticians thought of the idea.
- Lenses then were made for spectacles, so were good only in central part close to the eyeball.
- Hans Lipperhey (1570 1619) of Middelburg designed a working telescope and demonstrated it in the Hague to Stadholder Maurits and many diplomats at an international peace conference in 1608.
- He documented it by submitting a request for a patent to the States General, which was refused.
- Vital (and innovative) in Lipperhey's design was that he stopped down the aperture.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

2 Coloter the ax mand high - your high that In sink his me good and a a find appreciate undersing & kinni Find Lippe any better to just perform Apier which proved at the provide and the first and the first your Jyhunes when you gild your when ner mule jand varies where band in & Asky president any we worked , is a wind and have applied at my my and 15 how to serve an small the grant and an famp and allow to make , and darpen Victor primate - andfrick the accompany HANS LIPPERHEY. my my in the most and and and an fecundus Confrictiorum inventor .

Hans Lipperhey and part of his request for a patent.

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Galileo Galiei (probably with help of others) quickly improved telescope design and started observing the sky.

Here are some of his telescopes.



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Galilei started observing the Moon on 30 November 1609.

He used initially a telescope with magnification about 3.

Here are some of Galilei's drawings.



Two-body problem

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

In December 1609 he discovered that the Milky Way is full of stars.

On the left the lower part of Orion and on the right the Plejades.



The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

This is what Jupiter and the four brightests satellites look like through a small telescope.



The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

On January 7, 1610 Galilei observed Jupiter with a telescope with magnification 30.

He discovered three 'stars" near Jupiter and on 10 January concluded that they circles around Jupiter.

On 13 January 1610 he discovered the fourth of the satellite (or 'moons').

Here is a page with Jupiter observations from Galilei's notebook for January 10.

Observationes Services
2. joir Doc
SU. word >+ O #
2 7cm Q ** *
3.mm 0 + +
3.4.5. *0 *
4 mind. +0 ++
6 mint + + 0 +
8. marc H.13. + + + 0
10. maple + + + 0 +
12. H. quy: + O +
17. mani' # #+0 =
14 Core. # + + 0 +
17 + + 0
16. Char 1: + 0 + + +
17. chrin . + 0 + +
18. * 0 * * *
21. 2008 + + + Q + +
2 1 . * * O *
<i>\r</i> . ₹ ≠ 0 #
29.44%: ** 0
30 mine = = 0 =
Jennery + mont = = 0 =
4. HALL & . O .
5. • • • • • •
c + 0 + +
7 . O . + milie mile no portion
1 million = 0 ++
11. * * • 0

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Here two pages from Galilei's famous Sidereus Nuncius (the 'Starry Messenger'), published in 1610.



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Galilei's observations of the phases of Venus, starting in September 1610.



The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

He later also observed sunspots, that were in disagreement with the perfectness of the sun in the Aristotelian philosophy.



The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune



Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem

The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

- Mutual occultations of planets are extremely rare.
- Between the superior planets there are only 9 between 1100 and 2500! (Next is Mars-Jupiter on December 2, 2223).
- On January 4, 1613 there was an occultation of Neptune by Jupiter.
- Galilei observed Jupiter at that time.



The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Here is Galilei's notebook for December 28, 1612.

The 'star' indicated is not a known star and is in the correct direction to be Neptune, but at the edge of the page.



The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

This is January 2, 1613 with a star (SAO119234), sketched at the edge of the page.

It says it is 48 jovian radii from Jupiter, while it actually is 52.



The geocentric model The Copernican revolution Galilei, telescopes and Neptune

Again Neptune is present on the records of 27/28 January, 1613.



Galilei observed Neptune 234 years before it was discovered!²

²Kowal & Drake, Nature **287**, 311 (1980)

Piet van der Kruit, Kapteyn Astronomical Institute

Two-body problem